

# Annual Review of Marine Science Impacts of Climate Change on Marine Foundation Species

Thomas Wernberg,<sup>1,2</sup> Mads S. Thomsen,<sup>3,4</sup> Julia K. Baum,<sup>5,\*</sup> Melanie J. Bishop,<sup>6,\*</sup> John F. Bruno,<sup>7,\*</sup> Melinda A. Coleman,<sup>8,\*</sup> Karen Filbee-Dexter,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Karine Gagnon,<sup>2,\*</sup> Qiang He,<sup>9,\*</sup> Daniel Murdiyarso,<sup>10,11,\*</sup> Kerrylee Rogers,<sup>12,\*</sup> Brian R. Silliman,<sup>13,\*</sup> Dan A. Smale,<sup>14,\*</sup> Samuel Starko,<sup>1,\*</sup> and Mathew A. Vanderklift<sup>15,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Oceans Institute and School of Biological Sciences, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia, Australia; email: thomas.wernberg@uwa.edu.au

<sup>2</sup>Flødevigen Research Station, Institute of Marine Research, His, Norway

<sup>3</sup>Marine Ecology Research Group, School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

<sup>4</sup>Department of Ecoscience, Aarhus University, Roskilde, Denmark

<sup>5</sup>Department of Biology, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

<sup>6</sup>School of Natural Sciences, Macquarie University, Macquarie Park, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>7</sup>Department of Biology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

<sup>8</sup>National Marine Science Centre, New South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>9</sup>Coastal Ecology Lab, MOE Key Laboratory for Biodiversity Science and Ecological Engineering, School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

<sup>10</sup>Center for International Forestry Research–World Agroforestry (CIFOR-ICRAF), Bogor, Indonesia

<sup>11</sup>Department of Geophysics and Meteorology, IPB University, Bogor, Indonesia

<sup>12</sup>School of Earth, Atmospheric, and Life Sciences, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>13</sup>Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA

<sup>14</sup>Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, Plymouth, United Kingdom

<sup>15</sup>Indian Ocean Marine Research Centre, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Crawley, Western Australia, Australia

## ANNUAL CONNECT

- www.annualreviews.org
- Download figures
- Navigate cited references
- Keyword search
- Explore related articles
- Share via email or social media

Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 2024. 16:247-82

First published as a Review in Advance on September 8, 2023

The Annual Review of Marine Science is online at marine.annualreviews.org

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-042023-093037

Copyright © 2024 by the author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See credit lines of images or other third-party material in this article for license information.

\*These authors are listed alphabetically



#### Keyword

corals, kelps, seagrasses, salt marsh plants, mangroves, bivalves, oyster reefs, ocean warming, marine heatwaves, sea level rise, ocean acidification, storms, mitigation, resilience

#### Abstract

Marine foundation species are the biotic basis for many of the world's coastal ecosystems, providing structural habitat, food, and protection for myriad plants and animals as well as many ecosystem services. However, climate change poses a significant threat to foundation species and the ecosystems they support. We review the impacts of climate change on common marine foundation species, including corals, kelps, seagrasses, salt marsh plants, mangroves, and bivalves. It is evident that marine foundation species have already been severely impacted by several climate change drivers, often through interactive effects with other human stressors, such as pollution. overfishing, and coastal development. Despite considerable variation in geographical, environmental, and ecological contexts, direct and indirect effects of gradual warming and subsequent heatwaves have emerged as the most pervasive drivers of observed impact and potent threat across all marine foundation species, but effects from sea level rise, ocean acidification, and increased storminess are expected to increase. Documented impacts include changes in the genetic structures, physiology, abundance, and distribution of the foundation species themselves and changes to their interactions with other species, with flow-on effects to associated communities, biodiversity, and ecosystem functioning. We discuss strategies to support marine foundation species into the Anthropocene, in order to increase their resilience and ensure the persistence of the ecosystem services they provide.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Marine ecosystems provide vast benefits to human societies, including food and raw materials, nutrient cycling, climate regulation, and cultural and spiritual connections (Barbier et al. 2011, Filbee-Dexter et al. 2022b). The capacity of marine ecosystems to deliver these services depends on the species that inhabit them, their ecological functions, and the processes that control these functions. Human activities increasingly affect physical, chemical, and biological processes at local, regional, and planetary scales (Duarte 2014). These impacts alter the performance of species and the strength and direction of biological interactions, which in turn rearranges ecological communities (He & Silliman 2019, Pinsky et al. 2020, Smith et al. 2023, Vergés et al. 2014), with serious implications for the provision of benefits from Earth's ecosystems (Cooley et al. 2022a, Filbee-Dexter & Wernberg 2018, Smith et al. 2021).

Marine ecologists have long recognized that some species are disproportionately more important to determining overall community structure than others (Möbius 1877). Dayton (1972) coined the term foundation species to describe "critical species which define much of the structure of a community" (p. 85). While he included in this category any species with large effects, including both herbivores and carnivores, Bruno & Bertness (2001) later redefined foundation species as those that have a large effect on community structure by modifying environmental conditions, species interactions, and resource availability through their presence rather than their actions (e.g., kelps, grasses, mussels, and corals). Other authors have called such species structural species (Huston 1994), autogenic ecosystem engineers (Jones et al. 1997), keystone structures (Tews et al. 2004) and habitat-forming species (Thomsen et al. 2010).



Foundation species support associated species and communities. Foundation species affect communities through their presence, not their actions. They define ecosystems by providing a physical framework for associated species, and these community-wide effects occur across multiple scales. For example, at the seascape scale (panel *a*), a kelp forest provides a unique environment and habitat for large, highly mobile species, including mammals, fish, cuttlefish, and other invertebrates. On a smaller scale, individual kelp (panel *b*) are home to epiphytes, small fish, and invertebrates, and even specific parts of the kelp, such as the holdfast (panel *c*), create a unique physical structure that is home to rich and sometimes specialized communities.

Foundation species facilitate the presence of associated species and underpin entire ecosystems by creating biogenic structures that, in turn, modify environmental conditions, offer refuge from predation, and ameliorate environmental stress. Unlike keystone species, which have a disproportionate impact on the structure of an ecosystem relative to their abundance (i.e., they represent a small proportion of community biomass) (Power et al. 1996), foundation species play a crucial role in shaping the structure and function of entire ecosystems by providing the physical framework that supports other species (**Figure 1**). Consequently, they are among the most important components of marine communities (Dayton 1972). Indeed, experimental removal or wholesale loss of foundation species can cause the loss of entire suites of species (Ling 2008, Silliman et al. 2011). The most common and widely known marine foundation species and their biogenic structures include coral reefs, kelp forests, seagrass meadows, salt marshes, mangrove forests, and bivalve reefs (**Table 1**).

Climate change has already substantially altered the marine environment, and these changes will continue into the foreseeable future. Anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions drive longterm changes in environmental conditions such as altered circulation patterns, higher air and sea temperatures, reduced sea ice cover, higher sea levels, and lower pH, as well as increased frequency, intensity, or duration of extreme events such as heatwaves, storms, precipitation, and floods (IPCC 2021, 2022). These changes impact physical and chemical systems that support marine communities, causing a range of complex biological responses (Figure 2) and impacts across organizational levels, habitats, ecosystems, and biogeographical regions (Bellard et al. 2012, Stenseth et al. 2002, Walther et al. 2002). Whereas short-term extreme events typically have negative effects on species and communities (Smale et al. 2019), longer-term changes in mean conditions can have variable effects on foundation species, ranging from negative to neutral or even positive effects, depending on the context (Duarte et al. 2018, Smale 2020). Additionally, climate change can interact-often in complex synergistic or antagonistic ways (Crain et al. 2008, Darling & Côté 2008)-with existing stressors such as pollution and overfishing, driving changes in foundation species and losses of valuable ecosystem services (e.g., Baum et al. 2023, Filbee-Dexter & Wernberg 2018, He & Silliman 2019). Foundation species and the ecosystems they underpin are increasingly recognized as being under threat (Figure 3), and understanding these complex interactions is crucial for Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 2024.16:247-282. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by 118.97.73.90 on 01/24/24. See copyright for approved use. Table 1 Overview of key marine foundation species and their approximate areal extents, major climate and nonclimate stresses, estimated rates of and economic valuations 0 40

			;	;		
Foundation species/ecosystem	Brief description	Global extent	Major climate drivers of change	Major nonclimate drivers of change	Rate of change	Estimated value
Coral reefs	Marine subtidal reef-building stony corals, ~750 species worldwide (Muir & Pichon 2019)	154,049-301,110 km <sup>2</sup> (Li et al. 2020) 284,000 km <sup>2</sup> (Davidson et al. 2019, McKenzie et al. 2020)	Warming; more prolonged, intense, and frequent marine heatwares; secondary climate drivers include ocean acidification, sea level rise, and stronger storms (IPCC 2022)	Turbidity, sedimentation, nutrient enrichment, pollution, fishing, diseases, invasive species, plastics	50% of coral cover lost since 1870, accelerating loss in recent decades due to climate change exacerbating othal. 2021) 50–75% decline in coral cover over the last 30–40 years (Bruno et al. 2019)	US\$36 billion y <sup>-1</sup> in reef-related tourism (Spalding et al. 2017). >US\$4 billion y <sup>-1</sup> in storm protection by living reefs (Beck et al. 2018). US\$1.8 billion y <sup>-1</sup> in flood risk reduction in the United States by coral reefs (Reguero et al. 2021) US\$10.02 $\times$ 10 <sup>12</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> (Davidson et al. 2019)
Kelp forests	Large subtidal brown seaweeds, > 100 species worldwide (Bolton 2010)	2,033,936 km² (Jayathilake & Costello 2021) 1.70 and 2.57 million km² of Laminariales and Fucales, respectively (Fragkopoulou et al. 2022)	Warming, stronger heatwaves	Overfishing of predators causing overgrazing by sea urchins; turbidity; sedimentation; nutrient enrichment; pollution; urban development	Declines in 61% of time series over 20 years (Krumberg et al. 2019) ~2% decline per year (Krumhansl et al. 2016)	USS500,000–1,000,000 per kilometer of coadline per year (Filbee-Dexter & Wernberg 2018) 620,000 km coastline globally $\times$ 38% with kelp forests $\times$ USS750 million km <sup>-1</sup> = USS1.7 $\times$ 10 <sup>11</sup> y <sup>-1</sup>
Seagrass meadows	Intertidal and subtidal vascular flowering marine plants, ~60 species worldwide (Short et al. 2007)	177,000–600,000 km <sup>2</sup> (McKenzie et al. 2020) 788,000 km <sup>2</sup> (Davidson et al. 1,646,788 km <sup>2</sup> (Jayathilake & Costello 2018)	Warming, stronger heatwaves, stronger storms (wave damage and rainfall-induced turbidity)	Turbidity, sedimentation, nutrient enrichment, coastal development, aquaculture	19% loss of surveyed area since 1880 (Dunic et al. 2021) 7% per year (Waycott et al. 2009)	No global valuation estimates (see table 3 in Dewsbury et al. 2016) for values from local studies/single- ecosystem services US\$2.28 × 10 <sup>12</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> (Davidson et al. 2019)
Salt marshes	Intertidal and supratidal vascular plants and shrubs, ~600 species worldwide (Silliman 2014)	2.2-40 Mha (Pendleton et al. 2012) 5,495,089 ha (McOwen et al. 55,000 km <sup>2</sup> (Davidson et al. 2019, McKenzie et al. 2020)	Warming, extreme drought, sea level rise	Overgrazing, invasive species, nutrient pollution, urban development, conversion to agriculture	Global net salt marsh loss of 1,452.84 $\mathrm{km}^2$ from 2000 to 2019 (Campbell et al. 2022)	US\$15-15,000 acre <sup>-1</sup> (Barbier et al. 2011) US\$1.07 $\times$ 10 <sup>12</sup> $y^{-1}$ (Davidson et al. 2019)
						(Continued)

	Estimated value	US\$2.68 × 10 <sup>12</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> (Davidson et al. 2019)	Conservatively, US\$5,500-99,000 ha <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> (Grabowski et al. 2012)	Unknown/undescribed
	Rate of change	Net mangrove loss of $5,807.1 \mathrm{km^2}$ from 1996 to 2016 (Campbell et al. 2022)	~85% loss of oyster reefs globally since industrialization (Beck industrialization (Beck likely for mussel beds	Unknown/undescribed
	Major nonclimate drivers of change	Burning, cutting, urbanization, aquaculture, agriculture development; sedimentation may inhibit or facilitate mangroves	Overharvesting, changes in salinity, sedimentation, hypoxia, and flow due to catchment and shoreline modification, disease, and pollution (Beck et al. 2011)	Eutrophication, algal epiphytes, trawling, resource extractions (particularly for deep-water sponges, hydroids, bryozoans, and corals)
	Major climate drivers of change	Stronger storms, sea level rise; warming and stronger heatwaves sometimes inhibit and sometime facilitate mangroves	Warming, stronger heatwaves, salinity changes from altered precipitation, ocean acidification, ocean acidification (evidence limited to aquaculture to date), warming-induced diseases	Warming, stronger heatwaves, ocean acidification (particularly for calcareous species), sea level rise (particularly for intertidal polychaetas)
	Global extent	$\begin{array}{l} 1.38,000\ \mathrm{km}^2\ \mathrm{(Davidson et al.}\\ 2019)\\ \sim 147,000\ \mathrm{km}^2\ \mathrm{(Bunting}\\ \mathrm{et al.}\ 2020)\\ 152,361\ \mathrm{km}^2\ \mathrm{(McKenzie et al.}\ 2020)\\ \mathrm{et al.}\ 2020) \end{array}$	Unknown but extensive	Unknown but extensive and common from the intertidal zone to the deep sea, across all biogeographical realms, and from polar to tropical latitudes
<i>d</i> )	Brief description	Intertidal vascular trees and shrubs adapted to high salinity, ~70 species worldwide (Sandilyan & Kathiresan 2012)	Aggregations of oysters and/or mussels, ~70 oyster species (Sigwart et al. 2021) and ~400 mussel species (Myrulidae) (WoRMS Ed. Board 2023) worldwide, very few of which are reef builders	Rockweeds, sponges, bryozoans, cold-water corals, hydroids, gardening polychaetas, maerl beds, and floating <i>Sargassum</i>
Table 1 (Continue	Foundation species/ecosystem	Mangrove forests	Bivalve reefs	Lesser-known marine foundation species

-		-
16	-	s
	c	3
	6	s
	N	u
		s
	N	s
	è	S
	s	2
	Σ	1
	×	-
	۰.	٥
	2	s
	s	2
	Ξ	1
	c	2
۲		ŝ
v.		
	-	2
~	_	
-		-
	۸	1
	×	2
-		
	e	•
-	•	
	-	1
	4	v



Humans cause environmental changes that drive impacts to foundation species. In the Anthropocene, human greenhouse gas emissions and other activities cause complex climate and nonclimate changes to the environment. These changes interact to drive a range of physical and biological impacts to foundation species. Impacts and foundation species are representative and not to scale.



Marine foundation species are at risk of climate-mediated impact and collapse. The trajectory of global surface temperatures will depend on the global greenhouse gas emission scenario [Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP)]. Ranked top to bottom according to overall risk, all common marine foundation species are at moderate risk within the next  $\sim$ 15 years following RCP8.5. Risks to bivalve reefs are based on threats to rocky reefs. Data are from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (Collins et al. 2013, Cooley et al. 2022b); for photo credits, see the captions for **Figures 4***a***-9***a*, respectively.

managing their health and resilience. Here, we review knowledge of the impacts of climate-driven threats to marine foundation species. Our goal is to provide an overview of the current state and future of key marine foundation species and to identify areas for future research and management to ensure their persistence and functioning under climate change.

## 2. GLOBAL AND LOCAL STRESSORS OF MARINE FOUNDATION SPECIES

Marine foundation species are pervasive throughout the coastal zone, and they are under immense and increasing pressure from climate change superimposed onto other anthropogenic stressors (**Figure 3**). Over the past few decades, climate changes have caused substantial declines in all foundation species and their associated communities, resulting in the loss of ecosystem services valued in the billions regionally and trillions globally each year (**Table 1**).

Background warming and heatwaves have caused poleward range shifts in many taxa and bioregions, as warming results in slow colonization of new poleward regions but is offset by rapid loss from their equatorial ranges (Bates et al. 2014, Kitchel et al. 2022, Poloczanska et al. 2013). However, loss is inevitable when new thermal conditions exceed the maximum temperature tolerance of species and poleward colonization is restricted by barriers such as landscape features, countercurrents, low and poor propagule production and dispersal traits, human-established barriers, or a lack of other necessary resources or conditions. Such wholesale community range shifts have already been observed for seaweed (Wernberg et al. 2011, 2016), mangroves (Saintilan et al. 2014), and corals (Precht & Aronson 2004) and will most likely ultimately be reported for all foundation species. Furthermore, in addition to poleward range shifts, all community dynamics within ranges will experience myriad changes, as temperature-dependent physiological processes such as metabolism, growth, and reproduction will modify all community-scale competitive hierarchies and consumer interactions (e.g., Kordas et al. 2011). Superimposed onto gradual warming are episodic short heatwaves that accelerate range shifts and can cause sudden dramatic loss of marine foundation species. Such dramatic short-term loss of entire seascape structures over only a few months has already been observed for kelps, fucoids, and seagrasses (Kendrick et al. 2019, Thomsen et al. 2019, Wernberg et al. 2016) and is a major driver of increasing bleaching events on coral reefs (Donovan et al. 2021). Temperature-dependent impacts from continued gradual warming and future longer and stronger heatwaves will likely accelerate dramatic reshuffling of marine foundation species in the near future (Oliver et al. 2019).

Climate-driven heatwaves and sea level rise can also cause vertical range shifts, as desiccation stress, light, turbidity, sedimentation, and wave action can change dramatically over a few vertical meters in the intertidal and upper subtidal zones (Whalen et al. 2023). Sea level rise has had the strongest effects on intertidal foundation species, which have been pushed upward on the shore. Here, terrestrial habitats have typically been drowned, and marine foundation species have been outcompeted at their lower vertical distribution levels. Yet these shifts are constrained, as most of these marine species have experienced reduced vertical ranges because human structures built to protect human-dominated landscapes, agriculture, and built environments—including causeways, seawalls, and levees—now limit landward migration of marine and coastal foundation species (Doody 2004, Pontee et al. 2022).

Ocean acidification, driven by anthropogenic  $CO_2$  emissions, differs from the relatively obvious ecological effects of warming, heatwaves, and sea level rise, as effects have rarely been directly observable in natural ecosystems. Instead, they have been inferred from comparative experiments along pH gradients near hydrothermal vents or from laboratory experiments (Doney et al. 2020, Leung et al. 2022). Typically, these studies suggest that calcifying foundation species (corals, mussels, oysters, and coralline algae) will be disproportionately inhibited because more energy will be required to build and maintain calcareous shell structures.

Strong storms have had devastating ecological impacts on marine foundation species, particularly those with large, rigid, upright forms with large drag and high stiffness, such as mangroves and upright corals (Madden et al. 2023, Taillie et al. 2020). Although attribution of storminess to climate change is highly complicated and often debated, recent studies suggest that storms in many areas are becoming stronger and more frequent (Emanuel 2020, Moon et al. 2019), and if this trend continues, uprooting and breakage of marine foundation species will accelerate in the near future.

Climate change stressors, like temperature, have well-described effects on marine foundation species, but interactive effects between climate and nonclimate stressors remain a critical knowledge gap. For example, understanding interactive effects between climate change and habitat modification, invasive species, pollution, eutrophication, and fisheries will be critical to predict the true impact of climate change on foundation species. Indeed, meta-analyses have shown that additive and synergistic (elevated) effects between co-occurring stressors are common in marine ecosystems (Crain et al. 2008, Darling & Côté 2008). For example, heatwaves and elevated turbidity and riverine inputs in concert have caused massive losses of seagrass (Kendrick et al. 2019) and decimated giant kelp forests (Tait et al. 2021), and ocean acidification may increase impacts from stronger storms (Hudson et al. 2023).

Marine foundation species impacted by climate change are often replaced by alternative foundation species or smaller, fast-growing weedy species, or they are transformed in ways that provide little to no cover of sessile species. Examples of the substitution of one primary foundation species with an alternative foundation species include conversions of large bull kelp forests to beds of smaller fucoids and invasive Japanese kelp (Thomsen et al. 2019), mussel-dominated reefs to oyster-dominated reefs (Kochmann et al. 2008), or oysters to mangroves (McClenachan et al. 2021). Elsewhere, often immediately following the loss of foundation species or in systems with additional human stressors (such as eutrophication), primary foundation species may instead be replaced by smaller weedy species, such as small turf-forming and filamentous seaweed that can replace kelp (Filbee-Dexter & Wernberg 2018), seagrass (Thomsen et al. 2012), and corals (Anton et al. 2020). Finally, in extreme cases, kelp forests, seagrass meadows, and salt marshes can collapse into structurally depauperate states such as bare rock or mudflats (Ling 2008, Silliman et al. 2005). Many of these new habitat configurations exhibit positive feedback mechanisms and hysteresis that may, in concert with the continued increase of climate change stressors, prevent recovery of foundation species (Filbee-Dexter & Wernberg 2018).

#### **3. CORAL REEFS**

Coral reefs are biogenic calcium carbonate accretions generated by scleractinian stony corals distributed throughout the tropics. Tropical coral reefs are especially vulnerable to climate change (Cooley et al. 2022a) (**Figure 3**) due to the high thermal sensitivity of corals. Temperature stress as little as 1°C above local background temperatures can disrupt the vital partnership between the coral animal and its photosynthetic endosymbionts (Symbiodiniaceae), causing bleaching as the coral skeleton becomes visible following symbiont expulsion (Glynn 1993). Prolonged temperature stress often leads to coral mortality (Hughes et al. 2017) (**Figure 4**). Many coral reefs also have a long history of local human impacts, ranging from fishing to coastal development, and these stressors, coupled with climate change, have already resulted in significant coral reef degradation globally. It is estimated that ~50% of all coral cover has already been lost, and current IPCC projections suggest that almost all corals could be lost with warming of 1.5–2°C (Cooley et al. 2022a) (**Table 1**).

Marine heatwaves amplified by anthropogenic global warming now pose the greatest threat to coral reefs. Coral mass mortality events were first documented and attributed to anthropogenic warming in the 1980s in the Caribbean and eastern Pacific (e.g., Glynn 1993). Mass bleaching became more common in the 1990s, and in 1998, the first global bleaching event caused widespread losses (Aronson et al. 2002, Baird & Marshall 1998, Bruno et al. 2001). A second global coral



Pristine versus climate-impacted coral reefs. Shown is a reef with mixed scleractinian species (a) before and (b) after a marine heatwave caused coral bleaching in Kiritimati. Photos in panels a and b by K. Cox and K. Tietjen, respectively, and adapted with permission from Baum et al. (2023) (CC BY-NC 4.0).

bleaching event followed in 2010. The 2014–2017 global coral bleaching event was the most prolonged and widespread on record, with losses occurring on some of the world's best-managed (e.g., the Great Barrier Reef; Hughes et al. 2017) and most remote (e.g., the Chagos Archipelago; Sheppard et al. 2020) coral reefs. At its epicenter, the central equatorial ocean, both Jarvis Island and Kiritimati Atoll sustained more than 25 degree heating weeks, a magnitude not previously thought likely to occur until the mid-twenty-first century (Hoegh-Guldberg 2011). Both reefs suffered extensive coral mortality (~90%; Baum et al. 2023). The persistence of the world's coral reefs is jeopardized not only by the increased intensity and duration of marine heatwaves but also by their increasing frequency, which leaves insufficient time for coral recovery between successive disturbances (Hughes et al. 2018). Additionally, over longer timescales, ocean acidification, sea level rise, and other climate change–related environmental changes will further jeopardize coral populations and reef habitats (Cooley et al. 2022a).

Ocean warming is not only driving massive losses in living coral cover but also significantly altering the composition of coral communities. Species sensitive to temperature extremes are being replaced by more thermally tolerant taxa (Loya et al. 2001, Selig et al. 2010). The resulting change in coral species composition may increase resilience, but it also alters ecosystem processes, as lowcover reefs dominated by weedy taxa may be less susceptible to heatwaves but are not functionally equivalent to pristine reefs. Additionally, continued warming (and other threats) could wipe out even these survivors. Similarly, in some locations (e.g., much of the Caribbean), the functional role of corals is being fulfilled by other habitat-forming taxa that are replacing corals as the dominant space holder of tropical reefs. Seaweeds, sponges, and upright gorgonians provide microhabitat that can attract and facilitate reef fishes and invertebrates. Although these climate change winners probably benefit reef biodiversity, they do not appear to be functionally equivalent to corals in terms of habitat provision, and they will not form reef frameworks over thousands of years via deposition of calcium carbonate skeletons, as hard corals have.

Coral reefs support exceptionally high biodiversity (an estimated 25% of all marine species) despite covering less than 0.1% of the ocean's surface. As such, declines in living coral cover and habitat complexity have major impacts on the abundance, composition, and richness of associated communities. Impacts of coral loss on fishes are especially well documented (Magel et al.

2020). Following the Kiritimati bleaching in 2015–2016, overall reef fish abundance dropped by half, but most functional groups had recovered within two years, suggesting that fishes had simply temporarily relocated to cooler, deeper waters (Magel et al. 2020). However, corallivore numbers remained depressed following the mass bleaching event. Presumably there were also knock-on effects on numerous invertebrate taxa, but these remain largely unexplored. Coral mass mortality can lead to a variety of outcomes, including compositional shifts and spatial homogenization of the taxonomic and functional richness of fishes (Richardson et al. 2018), rapid recovery and relatively minor impacts despite the collapse of dense coral populations (Wismer et al. 2019), spatial mismatch (of coral and fish declines) (Wismer et al. 2019), and increased herbivorous fish biomass following mass bleaching and macroalgal blooms (Lindahl et al. 2001). Clearly, the indirect effects of coral loss on other reef inhabitants are context dependent, species specific, and complex. Beyond biodiversity loss, millions of people depend on healthy coral populations for jobs and food via fisheries and tourism (Spalding et al. 2017) and for protection from storms and waves (Harris et al. 2018).

Unfortunately, corals have limited capacity to move or adapt at the scale or pace required under current climate change. Although the movement of reef fishes and corals into warming areas previously dominated by kelp ecosystems has been documented along some continental margins (Vergés et al. 2014), overall, the potential for corals to shift their ranges is very limited (Madin et al. 2016). Moreover, modeling studies suggest that the adaptive capacity of coral symbionts is outpaced by warming under high-emission scenarios, although corals shifting to more thermally tolerant symbionts can delay or prevent widespread coral mortality under Representative Concentration Pathway 2.6 (RCP2.6) and RCP4.5 (Logan et al. 2021). While identifying climate refugia has gained traction in coral reef management (Beyer et al. 2018), recent studies caution that such efforts will likely only be effective in the short term, with most local refugia being lost even at planetary warming of 1.5°C (Dixon et al. 2022).

### 4. KELP FORESTS

Kelp forests are formed by large brown seaweeds (macroalgae), predominantly from the order Laminariales (**Figure 5**) (Wernberg & Filbee-Dexter 2019). These ecosystems are among the most abundant and productive coastal ecosystems in the world (Pessarrodona et al. 2022)



#### Figure 5

Pristine versus climate-impacted kelp forests. Shown is an *Ecklonia radiata* forest (*a*) before and (*b*) after a severe marine heatwave in Western Australia. Photos by T. Wernberg.

(**Table 1**) but are also considered to be the most vulnerable temperate marine ecosystems to climate change (Cooley et al. 2022a) (**Figure 3**). As most kelps live only a few years or less, kelp forests respond quickly to the environment, leading to rapid changes in their structure, function, and extent (e.g., Krumhansl et al. 2016).

Kelps are cool-water species found mainly at temperate to Arctic latitudes, and their distributions are strongly linked to temperature (Bolton 2010). Consequently, ocean warming can have a range of direct and indirect effects on kelp performance and persistence (Smale 2020, Wernberg et al. 2019). Direct effects typically occur in regions where temperatures are at or above the optimum for kelps, with warming and marine heatwaves leading to mortality (Filbee-Dexter et al. 2020, Wernberg et al. 2016) or sublethal damage such as reduced growth, reproduction, or tissue health (Simonson et al. 2015, Xiao et al. 2015). Indirect effects of warming commonly include climate-mediated shifts in grazers, such as the increases in sea urchin overgrazing in southeastern Australia following the shifting East Australian Current (Ling et al. 2009) and in the northeastern Pacific following marine heatwave-driven loss of predatory sea stars (Rogers-Bennett & Catton 2019, Starko et al. 2022). Overgrowth by epibiota (e.g., Saunders et al. 2010) and grazing pressure from range-extending fish (Vergés et al. 2014) can also increase with warming and drive or cement kelp loss. At cooler leading range edges in the Arctic, kelp forests are predicted to expand deeper and increase in cover and biomass (Filbee-Dexter et al. 2019), largely due to loss of sea ice and increased light providing more suitable habitat. Models predict an increase in kelp distribution of between ~70,000 and ~123,000 km<sup>2</sup> in Arctic regions by 2100 (Assis et al. 2022). However, these predicted gains may be overestimates given that increased turbidity from melting land ice and glaciers is also expected in some areas and may limit kelp expansion (Bonsell & Dunton 2018).

The total area of kelp forest impacted by climate change is uncertain due to large unmapped and unmonitored portions of their global range and the relative lack of long-term time series (Krumhansl et al. 2016). The most extensive climate-driven losses of kelp forests, exceeding tens of thousands of hectares, have been reported in Norway (accelerated by eutrophication) (Gundersen et al. 2017), Western Australia (Wernberg et al. 2016), and Japan (Tanaka et al. 2012). Less extensive losses, on the order of hundreds to thousands of hectares and including some localized extinctions, have increasingly been reported for many areas in the North Atlantic (Filbee-Dexter et al. 2020), New Zealand (Tait et al. 2021), Oman (Coleman et al. 2022), and the northeastern Pacific (Rogers-Bennett & Catton 2019, Starko et al. 2022). Kelp forests in areas with strong upwelling or eastern boundary currents flowing toward the equator, such as Chile, southern Africa, and parts of California, appear generally to be more stable or even increasing over time, possibly representing regions of high resistance to climate warming (Krumhansl et al. 2016, Mora-Soto et al. 2021). Long-term records (>20 years) of kelp forests suggest that ~60% have been declining and only ~5% have been increasing over the past few decades (Wernberg et al. 2019).

Climate change and warming can also cause sublethal effects that are less dramatic compared with complete die-offs but nevertheless can modify the structure and function of kelp forests. Climate change can directly impact species or populations by altering vital rates such as growth (Pessarrodona et al. 2018) and decomposition (Filbee-Dexter et al. 2022a). These impacts can lead to reduced carbon capture and export by kelp forests, limiting their capacity to support secondary production and their overall importance in carbon cycling (Pessarrodona et al. 2022). Warmer temperatures can also drive declines in the overall size of kelp (Pessarrodona et al. 2018), leading to a reduction in the amount of habitat available for associated species and in the standing biomass present in forests. Increased decomposition rates under a warming climate may also limit the capacity of kelp-derived carbon to be exported from kelp forests, reducing the

capacity for spatial subsidies and carbon drawdown potential (e.g., in the deep sea) (Filbee-Dexter et al. 2022a). Warming can also alter the genetic makeup of kelp populations (e.g., Coleman et al. 2020a), potentially limiting their ability to adapt and respond to future change (Coleman & Wernberg 2020). In Australia, for example, a marine heatwave caused declines in the genetic diversity and shifts toward genotypes associated with warm waters (Coleman et al. 2020a, Gurgel et al. 2020).

Climate change can alter the species composition of kelp forests, with cold-adapted species replaced by ones tolerant of higher temperatures. This has already occurred in the northeastern Atlantic (*Laminaria hyperborea* replaced by *Laminaria ochroleuca*; Smale et al. 2015) and the northeastern Pacific (*Pterygophora californica* replaced by *Eisenia arborea*; Watson et al. 2021). These species substitutions can have important functional implications for the kelp forest. In Europe, where *L. hyperborea* is being replaced by *L. ochroleuca*, epifaunal communities have been impacted (reduced), because *L. ochroleuca* has substantially fewer stipe epiphytes (Teagle & Smale 2018), which has ramifications for the wider food web (Smale et al. 2022). This substitution may also limit carbon export due to differences in production and decomposition rate between kelp species (Wright et al. 2022).

Climate-driven loss of kelp often results in regime shifts to alternative ecosystem states, such as turf-dominated reefs (Filbee-Dexter & Wernberg 2018), smaller fucoid species (Thomsen & South 2019), or sea urchin barrens (Filbee-Dexter & Scheibling 2014), all of which have been observed across the distribution of kelps. Urchin barrens are primarily dominated by encrusting coralline algae, with high abundances of urchins and little foliose macroalgal cover. Turf reefs are dominated by many species of small, finely branched algae that can trap sediment and tend to have high cover and turnover (Pessarrodona et al. 2022). These states are stabilized by feedback mechanisms that can prevent kelp recovery even if environmental conditions improve, such as recruitment inhibition or high grazing pressure (Wernberg et al. 2019). Gradual warming can also result in tropicalization of kelp forests, with increases in coral abundance or tropical *Sargassum* documented in kelp forests in Japan (Tanaka et al. 2012) and Australia (Wernberg et al. 2016).

#### **5. SEAGRASS MEADOWS**

Seagrasses are flowering plants that have adapted to live in marine environments (**Figure 6**; **Table 1**). They build extensive meadows in shallow sedimentary habitats, typically through vegetative clonal spread. They have been affected by climate changes, including warming and marine heatwaves, sea level rise, altered storm patterns, and (perhaps) ocean acidification (Duarte et al. 2018, Guerrero-Meseguer et al. 2020).

Temperature effects are well documented in comparison with other climate stressors. Seagrasses generally respond to long-term increases in mean temperature through poleward shifts of range edges (Hyndes et al. 2016, Wilson & Lotze 2019). However, not all species have the same capacity for range shifts, as fast-growing species are better able to keep pace with temperature changes than slow-growing species, leading to changes in their relative abundance and distribution (Hyndes et al. 2016, Richardson et al. 2018). Rapid range extension requires sexual reproduction and long-distance dispersal on the order of kilometers per year. Where clonal growth is predominant, range extension will be limited to the rate of belowground clonal expansion, typically no more than a few meters per year. Reduced sea ice at high latitudes may increase the growing season and reduce ice scouring but could also lead to increased potential for winter storms affecting seagrass without the protective ice cover.

Warming can also influence nutrient cycling (e.g., Alexandre et al. 2020) and decomposition (Trevathan-Tackett et al. 2020) in seagrass meadows, and can create conditions that favor net  $CO_2$ 



Pristine versus climate-impacted seagrass meadows. Shown are *Posidonia* sp. meadows (*a*) before and (*b*) after a heating and bleaching event in Western Australia. Photos by M.S. Thomsen.

and CH<sub>4</sub> flux from sediment to water (Burkholz et al. 2020). In addition, multiple co-occurring species will respond differently to the same temperature changes, causing species interactions to change. Warming has also facilitated colonization of nonindigenous seagrass species (Halophila spp. in the Mediterranean and Caribbean; Beca-Carretero et al. 2020, Wesselmann et al. 2021). These shifts in species identity can have cascading effects on associated species and community structure and function (e.g., Viana et al. 2019). Estuarine intertidal seagrass species, which naturally experience large daily and seasonal temperature fluctuations, appear to have highly variable responses to warming and heatwaves (Clemente et al. 2023, Magel et al. 2022). Still, heat-associated mortality has been reported on intertidal seagrass, particularly when high water and air temperatures coincide with midday low spring tides and high solar radiation (Rasheed & Unsworth 2011). Furthermore, high mortality and massive loss of large seagrass meadows has been reported after extreme marine heatwaves, particularly in shallow, less wave-exposed waters and near species' poleward latitudinal distribution limits, as in the Mediterranean Sea and Shark Bay in Australia (e.g., Marbà & Duarte 2010, Strydom et al. 2020). For example, in Shark Bay, 36% of all seagrass meadows were damaged following a marine heatwave in 2010–2011, causing dramatic cascading impacts on small animals and megafauna such as dugongs and turtles, as well as effects on carbon storage as sediment carbon was rereleased to the atmosphere (Arias-Ortiz et al. 2018, Nowicki et al. 2021).

Few studies have tested the impacts of ocean acidification on seagrasses; experimental studies suggest relatively little overall effect (e.g., Guerrero-Meseguer et al. 2020), although associated species, like shell-producing snails and bivalves, and ecosystem functioning might be more affected (Ravaglioli et al. 2020). However, rates of photosynthesis and growth can, for some seagrass species, be favored by increased  $CO_2$  (Koch et al. 2013). Evidence for the impacts of sea level rise on seagrasses is also scarce, and model predictions vary; sediment accumulation rates in many seagrass meadows likely allow them to keep pace with sea level rise (e.g., Saderne et al. 2018). Sea level rise might create new space for seagrasses to colonize in some areas, but this could be

hampered if coastal development creates barriers (Raw et al. 2021). In other areas, they could be pushed into shallower areas prone to high temperatures (Carr et al. 2012) or ice scouring.

Effects of climate change are likely to differ between perennial persistent and ephemeral colonizing seagrasses, which vary in their response to and recovery from disturbance (Kilminster et al. 2015). Both types can be greatly impacted by warming events, but recovery of persistent species is slow or absent, while colonizing taxa are typically adapted to recover more quickly (e.g., Strydom et al. 2020). Overall, this may result in composition shifts toward fast-growing species becoming more dominant after heatwaves (Kendrick et al. 2019). Mortality events can also reduce genetic diversity within a meadow (Chefaoui et al. 2018), which could lead to reduced capacity to adapt to future stressors and changes. Beyond impacts on seagrasses themselves, extreme events can also exert profound changes on ecosystem functioning and services, such as reducing sediment carbon stocks (Arias-Ortiz et al. 2018) and the carbon sequestration capacity of seagrass meadows (George et al. 2020).

In several places, the fauna inhabiting seagrass meadows has been altered by warming (e.g., Fodrie et al. 2010, Zarco-Perello et al. 2020). Changes in trophic interactions or feeding behavior can lead to either increased grazing on seagrasses themselves (Buñuel et al. 2021) or altered grazing pressure on epiphytic algae, although the direction of this effect varies among species (Pillay & Waspe 2019). Gradual warming and heatwaves can also interfere with mutualisms between seagrasses and other species, leading to increased mortality from other stressors, such as sulfide (e.g., de Fouw et al. 2022). Finally, climate change—and warming and heatwaves in particular—may also impact host–pathogen dynamics, for example, by increasing the prevalence of seagrass wasting diseases (Groner et al. 2021).

#### 6. SALT MARSHES

Salt marshes are dominated by salt-tolerant, vascular, herbaceous plants and small shrubs that inhabit wave-protected sedimentary shorelines throughout the world (Adam 1990) (**Figure 7**). The extent of salt marshes is limited in the tropics by competition with mangrove forests and in polar regions by ice scour. The primary foundation species of salt marshes are grasses, sedges and



#### Figure 7

Pristine versus climate-impacted salt marshes. Shown are (*a*) a healthy marsh dominated by *Scirpus mariqueter*, China, and (*b*) a degraded *Spartina alterniflora* marsh after a severe drought followed by runaway grazing by *Littorina*, US east coast. Photos in panels *a* and *b* by Q. He and B.R. Silliman, respectively.

rushes, and succulents, depending on geographic location. Some animal species, such as the ribbed mussel, *Geukensia demissa* (Bertness 1984), also act as foundation species in salt marshes, though they are less prominent. An increasing number of studies have investigated the effects of climate change on these foundation species, and both positive and negative responses have been observed (**Table 1**).

Many studies have investigated the direct effects of  $CO_2$  enrichment on the growth and function of salt marsh plants. A general pattern has emerged that both the current higher levels of  $CO_2$  and future increases in  $CO_2$  may increase the aboveground growth of many marsh species, with  $C_3$  plants expected to respond more positively than  $C_4$  plants (Arp et al. 1993). In addition to increasing aboveground growth, a 33-year study showed that, when exposed to higher levels of  $CO_2$ , the sedge *Schoenoplectus americanus* increased root and rhizome biomass and became a better forager for growth-limiting nitrogen, although this effect was diminished with increases in sea level rise (Zhu et al. 2022).

While  $CO_2$  enrichment increases the growth of salt marsh plants, increasing temperatures can have both positive and negative impacts depending on geographic and within-marsh location. In Maine, USA, experimental warming of more heavily saturated marsh pannes increased the dominance of *Spartina patens* but decreased plant diversity (Gedan & Bertness 2009). The mechanism was experimental warming-induced drying of the pannes, allowing stress-intolerant, competitive *S. patens* to move in and outcompete a guild of nonfoundational halophytic forbs. While warming at colder latitudes tends to increase the growth of marsh plants, warming at the tropical range limits of salt marshes tends to decrease or have no impact on the growth of marsh plants and could even facilitate overgrowth by encroaching mangroves (Coldren et al. 2019). Warming has also been predicted to increase the invasion of exotic marsh species and the replacement of native marsh species (Borges et al. 2021).

The rate of sea level rise is increasing due to climate change, and where coastal land is not increasing elevation at rates equaling or exceeding sea level rise, salt marsh plants will become increasingly stressed by higher inundation frequencies, greater flooding depths, modified salinity regimes, greater wave energy, and wrack deposition (Fagherazzi et al. 2020, Li et al. 2018). Marsh plants are dependent on tidally borne sediments for their survival and also contribute organic material to substrates that improves resilience to sea level rise. Where sea level rise allows tides to extend beyond the landward boundaries of salt marshes, increasing inundation frequencies and elevated substrate salinity can affect the condition and survival of adjacent coastal forests. making way for the advancement and landward expansion of salt marsh plants (Kirwan & Gedan 2019). This expansion can be curtailed by steep topography, conflicting land use (e.g., coastal development), and engineering structures (e.g., sea defenses, levees, and revetments) that prevent tidal incursion into the margins of salt marshes. Consequently, there is increasing concern that drowning, internal breakup, and erosion of seaward margins of salt marshes and barriers to landward retreat will cause coastal squeeze effects, which have severe implications for salt marsh extent (Torio & Chmura 2013), with global projections indicating that large-scale loss could be reduced if sufficient landward space is available for the advance of salt marsh foundational species (Schuerch et al. 2018).

The effects of climate change on salt marshes are often driven by a combination of co-occurring stressors. For example, salt marshes are highly vulnerable to increasing storm intensity induced by climate change (Beck et al. 2011), particularly when oyster reefs in front of marshes are removed. Likewise, drought during the summer months in marshes can lead to elevated salinities in marsh soils. Elevated soil salinities then induce water stress in marsh plants, decreasing their growth, increasing their vulnerability to herbivores, and, when the stress is intense enough, leading to massive die-offs. Indeed, in China (He et al. 2017) and the United States (Silliman et al. 2005),

severe drought has weakened marsh plants (e.g., *Spartina alterniflora* and *Suaeda salsa*), increased top-down control by common grazers, and led to runaway die-offs of marsh ecosystems due to the interaction of drought and overgrazing (Silliman & Bertness 2002, Silliman et al. 2005). While fire has been used as a salt marsh management tool to prevent woody plant invasion and manage cattle impacts (Williams-Jara et al. 2022), the increasing intensity and frequency of wildfires and bushfires are of growing concern because of the fires' physical impacts on plant condition (Glasby et al. 2023), soil structure (Smith 2001), and invertebrate communities (Ross et al. 2019).

While the independent effects of climate change drivers on salt marsh species have been increasingly studied, climate change drivers often interact with each other (e.g., the El Niño/Southern Oscillation and drought) or with localized human influences to impact marsh species (He & Silliman 2019). These effects may be synergistic, additive, or antagonistic but remain poorly understood in salt marshes. For example, interactions between hydrodynamic forcing arising from sea level rise and other climate change drivers variably indicate the compounding effect of warming temperatures and elevated  $CO_2$  on salt marsh responses to sea level rise. Some species respond favorably, with increasing biomass (Pérez-Romero et al. 2019), while others exhibit decreased belowground biomass allocation, higher rates of peat decomposition, and increasing exposure to the effects of sea level rise (Crosby et al. 2017). In some cases, the plant architecture changes to improve resilience to sea level rise with warming and  $CO_2$  enrichment, increasing extension above rising sea levels and stem rigidity in the face of increasing wave energy (Paul et al. 2022). Where mangroves and salt marshes co-occur, the additive effects of warming and  $CO_2$  may also favor the establishment of advancing mangrove seedlings (Manea et al. 2020).

#### 7. MANGROVE FORESTS

Mangroves are salt-tolerant trees, shrubs, and palms that occur in the upper intertidal zone along low-energy sedimentary coastlines predominantly in the tropics, with few species able to tolerate the cool temperatures of temperate coastlines (**Figure 8**). Mangrove distribution is limited by the 20°C isotherm based on atmospheric (Walsh 1974) or ocean temperatures (Duke et al. 1998), extreme freezing events at the poleward limit of their latitudinal distribution (Cavanaugh et al. 2014),



#### Figure 8

Pristine versus climate-impacted mangrove forests. Shown are (*a*) healthy fringing *Rhizophora apiculata* mangroves, Indonesia, and (*b*) a dying *Avicennia alba* mangrove due to prolonged inundation, Indonesia. Photos by D. Murdiyarso/CIFOR-ICRAF.

or geomorphological barriers (Raw et al. 2019, Rogers & Krauss 2019). Mangroves are affected by physical processes operating on the land, ocean, and atmosphere and are therefore exposed to a broad range of climate change drivers (**Table 1**).

Mangroves typically cope with modest warming, although this may reduce aboveground biomass (Ward et al. 2016), particularly in arid areas dominated by *Avicennia* species. Warming has been implicated in mangrove range extensions along many coastlines extending across latitudinal ranges (Fazlioglu et al. 2020, Saintilan et al. 2014). For example, in the southeastern United States, warming has reduced the frequency of freeze events (Cavanaugh et al. 2014), leading to the expansion of *Avicennia germinans, Rhizophora mangle*, and *Laguncularia racemosa*. Projections suggest that warming near mangrove range limits will increase their height and biomass (Gabler et al. 2017), providing a competitive advantage over adjacent salt marshes (Osland et al. 2013), and facilitate poleward expansion at  $2.2-3.2 \text{ km y}^{-1}$  (Cavanaugh et al. 2014), although propagule predation may limit expansion in some regions (Langston et al. 2017).

Whereas increasing temperatures have resulted in poleward range expansion, higher atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels increase mangrove productivity because they enhance photosynthetic and water use efficiency (McKee et al. 2012). For example, *R. mangle*, from the Atlantic–East Pacific region, exhibited significant increases in stem length, maturation rates, and total leaf area in greenhouse experiments conducted on propagules (Farnsworth et al. 1996). However, this enhanced efficiency did not provide a productivity subsidy for *A. germinans* when exposed to suboptimal salinity regimes (Reef et al. 2015) or for *Avicennia marina* and *Rhizophora stylosa* when exposed to flooding regimes that simulated sea level rise (Jacotot et al. 2018). Indeed, CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment has been proposed as a contributing factor to global expansions of mangrove into salt marsh habitats, interacting with sea level rise, altered inundation regimes, and modified substrate salinity (Saintilan & Rogers 2015).

The productivity and tree heights of mangroves generally also increase with precipitation (Simard et al. 2019), which reduces substrate salinities, improves access to freshwater, facilitates flushing of toxicity from roots, and modifies competitive hierarchies and zonation patterns (Ribeiro et al. 2019). For example, growth of *Avicennia, Rhizophora*, and *Laguncularia* species in Venezuela was inhibited by salinity stress where rainfall is low (Medina & Francisco 1997), while expansion into salt marshes in subtropical Australia has been linked with freshening arising from sea level rise and increasing rainfall (Eslami-Andargoli et al. 2009). Precipitation also modifies the sediment supply and deposition, hydrological regimes, and sulfate toxicity in substrates in mangrove environments (Adams & Rajkaran 2021). However, projections of changing precipitation based on future climate change scenarios indicate that most mangroves will have reduced access to freshwater and an increasingly drier climate (Sippo et al. 2018). Reduced access to freshwater, associated with coupled negative phases of the Indian Ocean Dipole and the El Niño/Southern Oscillation, has already been implicated in widespread drought-induced mangrove dieback across northern Australia (Duke et al. 2021).

Traditionally, mangroves were thought to adapt to sea level rise by accumulating sediments, but greenhouse experiments on *R. mangle* indicate that initial rapid growth was followed by reduced growth (Ellison & Farnsworth 1997). Furthermore, organic matter addition from roots can allow mangroves to maintain their intertidal position, particularly when mineral sediment supply is low (McKee 2011). The importance of mangrove roots in relation to sea level rise is now well established, as is their capacity to buffer wave energy, bind sediments, add organic matter, dampen erosion, and contribute to the vertical growth of substrates as sea level increases (Krauss et al. 2014). However, if mineral and organic matter accumulation does not match sea level rise, then mangroves' intertidal position can transition to lower elevations that are less suitable for survival

and will expose them to higher wave energy (Woodroffe et al. 2016). The paleo record indicates limited threshold tolerance for adaptation when sea level rise exceeds  $\sim 7 \text{ mm y}^{-1}$  (Saintilan et al. 2020), a rate that likely will be surpassed by the end of the twenty-first century. With predicted sea level rise, landward retreat will become increasingly important, although retreat will be limited where land use and tidal barriers cause coastal squeeze (Leo et al. 2019, Phan et al. 2014).

Mangroves can be uprooted and destroyed by climate change-induced cyclones and storms (Figure 2), but they can also buffer storm surges and reduce erosion (Marois & Mitsch 2015) and are therefore important coastal defenses against extreme weather events. Postcyclone recovery of mangroves depends on the severity of the cyclone, exposure, degree of physical damage, and mangrove traits (Krauss & Osland 2020). For example, Rhizophoraceae are sensitive to wind effects (Asbridge et al. 2018, Aung et al. 2013), which have a significant influence on recovery trajectories. In contrast, Avicennia and Laguncularia species have a stronger recovery capacity because they are semideciduous (Paling et al. 2008) and can resprout from coppiced stems or lateral roots (Saenger 2002), their seedlings are protected from wind and storm surges, and postcyclone propagule supply is often high (Krauss & Osland 2020). However, storm-induced geomorphological or hydrological changes can reduce recovery by causing peat collapse, organic matter decomposition, loss of substrate elevation, and extreme sedimentation that buries aerial roots and reduces oxygen availability (Paling et al. 2008) as well as impounding tidal waters, which leads to reduced or persistent inundation (Cahoon et al. 2003, Lagomasino et al. 2021). Paradoxically, extreme weather events may improve mangrove forest resilience by providing nutrients that enhance productivity (Rasquinha & Mishra 2021) and sediment that increases resilience to sea level rise (Feher et al. 2020). Stormrelated recovery is time dependent, and species that recover fastest will have a long-term influence on canopy structure (Baldwin et al. 2001, Paling et al. 2008). For example, where cyclone frequency is high, mangroves exhibit lower biomass and have fewer canopy emergents (Lugo & Snedaker 1974), while species diversity and structural complexity are higher where cyclone frequency is low and/or mangroves have had more time for recovery (Simard et al. 2019).

#### 8. BIVALVE REEFS

Bivalve reefs or beds are complex raised structures created by aggregations of oysters and/or mussels. Besides providing an important food source to humans and other species (e.g., birds, fish, crabs, and whelks), their three-dimensional structures offer habitats to fish and invertebrates, enhance shoreline stabilization and wave attenuation, and provide water filtration and nutrient cycling. Consequently, they can exert large influences on ecosystem structure and function beyond their structural footprint.

Bivalve reefs are found in estuarine and coastal waters of temperate to tropical regions, spanning intertidal to subtidal habitats (Keith et al. 2022) (**Figure 9**). For many species, the availability of hard substrate (including rock and the shells of live or dead conspecifics) limits the establishment of reefs, though some species, such as pen shells, occur on soft bottoms. The fundamental niches of these species are shaped by biophysical factors such as temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, pH, and turbidity (Theuerkauf & Lipcius 2016). However, present-day distributions also strongly reflect historical and contemporary anthropogenic activities (Beck et al. 2011), like overharvest that has removed 85% of oyster reefs globally (Beck et al. 2011), recently scaled-up restoration of oyster and mussel populations, and deliberate (i.e., for aquaculture) and unintentional (e.g., as hull fouling) species translocations beyond their native ranges (Ruesink et al. 2005). Furthermore, predation and disease, which are also often mediated by human activities, are key drivers of bivalve distributions at local scales (Bushek et al. 2012, Paine 1966).



Pristine versus climate-impacted bivalve reefs. Shown are (*a*) a healthy *Mytilus trossulus* reef and (*b*) a dying *Mytilus trossulus* reef with gaping valves after the western North American heat dome, British Columbia. Photos by C. Harley and adapted with permission.

Among physicochemical factors, ocean temperature is the most important driver of bivalve distributions and hence the most significant climate stressor of bivalve reefs (Zippay & Helmuth 2012). Poleward range shifts in some reef-building bivalve species have already been detected coincident with warming (Sorte et al. 2010), leading to cascading ecological impacts (e.g., Andriana et al. 2020). For example, in the Wadden Sea (Germany and Denmark), warming summers have accelerated Pacific oyster (*Magallana gigas*) invasion (Diederich et al. 2005), while warming winters have driven recruitment failure and population declines in native bed-forming mussels (*Mytilus edulis, Macoma balthica*, and *Cerastoderma edule*) by synchronizing the timing of their settlement with seasonal biomass peaks in their main predators (Beukema & Dekker 2014). Increases in Pacific oyster numbers have, in part, ecologically compensated for the loss of blue mussels, as there is some functional redundancy in their provision of habitat to other invertebrates (Markert et al. 2010, 2013) and of food and habitat to shorebirds (Markert et al. 2013).

There are, however, also functional differences among these species (Kochmann et al. 2008). For example, whereas oysters promote green algae of low biomass and habitat complexity, blue mussels promote high-biomass, high-complexity meadows of the habitat-forming brown seaweed (*Fucus*) (Andriana et al. 2020). Unable to move to thermal refugia, reef-forming bivalves are also highly vulnerable to heatwaves (Harley 2008). Intertidal populations are particularly vulnerable, especially when low tides occur during midday in periods of calm, warm weather (Helmuth et al. 2002). Direct heatwave mortality of oysters and mussels is often heterogeneous, with animals living on equatorward-facing surfaces (Harley 2008), in solitary configurations (McAfee et al. 2018), or in the top layer of mussel beds being more vulnerable (Mislan & Wethey 2015). Aggregating behavior decreases thermal stress to individuals, and associated species, because of shading and moisture retention (McAfee et al. 2018). Parasitic endoliths may also reduce shellfish vulnerability to heat stress by providing a white discoloration that reflects solar radiation (Zardi et al. 2016).

In addition to directly affecting bivalve distributions, warming and heatwaves can alter biological interactions (Zippay & Helmuth 2012). For example, the predator–prey interaction between *Pisaster* sea stars and *Mytilus* mussels, which controls intertidal rocky shore community structure in the northeastern Pacific (Paine 1966), is sensitive to temperature (Sanford 1999). Furthermore, warming winters in Florida have been correlated with an ecosystem shift from intertidal oyster reefs (as also noted above for salt marshes) to mangroves (McClenachan et al. 2021). Warming and heatwaves have also been implicated in outbreaks of diseases, such as the ostreid herpesvirus (e.g., de Kantzow et al. 2016), which has spread from cultivated populations of Pacific oysters onto reefs and to co-occurring *C. edule*, an important infaunal bioengineer (reefs and bioturbation) and food source for protected bird species (Bookelaar et al. 2020). Indirect effects of warming and heatwaves may also occur where they cause shifts in the availability and/or species composition of phytoplankton (food) resources that negatively influence the development, survival, and competitive ability of bivalve species (Correia-Martins et al. 2022).

Temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen are also important drivers of bivalve distributions, influencing their development, growth, and survival (Clark & Gobler 2016) as well as disease dynamics of established populations (Bushek et al. 2012). Dissolved oxygen is declining in marine systems due in part to rising temperatures as well as increased nutrient loading into coastal systems (Breitburg et al. 2018), while intense precipitation events, associated with flooding and low salinity, are increasing. Besides causing mass mortality associated with prolonged severe hypoxia (~5 days) (Lenihan & Peterson 1998), diel cyclic hypoxia caused by changes in photosynthesis and exacerbated by warming can impact bivalves and their ecosystem services (Donelan et al. 2023). Altered rainfall and runoff patterns have been implicated in disease cycles, such as dermo occurrence in *Crassostrea virginica* oyster populations on the US Gulf and East Coasts (Bushek et al. 2012). Additionally, though shellfish can persist through short-term anomalies in salinity by closing their valves and shifting to anaerobic metabolism, flood events can result in mass mortality (Gledhill et al. 2020).

Although ocean acidification poses a potential threat to bivalves, most studies documenting impacts have come from experimental studies focused on early life history stages of cultivated populations (Parker et al. 2009). The persistence of bivalve reefs in highly acidified estuaries affected by acid-sulfate runoff and along coasts with strong diel and seasonal metabolic shifts in  $CO_2$  suggests that there may be some capacity of bivalves to resist this stressor (e.g., Amaral et al. 2011). Nevertheless, in a mesocosm study examining effects of warming and ocean acidification on mussel reef communities, elevated  $pCO_2$  reduced the growth of *Trichomya hirsuta* but not that of *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, and warming and  $pCO_2$  influenced the infauna that colonized both species of mussels (Cole et al. 2021).

#### 9. LESSER-KNOWN MARINE FOUNDATION SPECIES

Several lesser-known marine organisms not discussed above can dominate biomass and control biodiversity and community interactions; examples include sponges, bryozoans, tunicates, sessile crustaceans such as barnacles, calcareous reef-forming polychaetes, noncoral cnidarians such as hydroids or gorgonians, maerl beds composed of calcareous red algae, intertidal fucoid beds, and floating *Sargassum* forests. These foundation species are found across most marine ecosystems.

Most of these species have also been impacted by climate change. For example, intertidal fucoid beds are generally susceptible to the same stressors as kelp forests, with warming being a main driver of impacts (Thomsen et al. 2019). In contrast, floating *Sargassum* forests may benefit from warming, with expansion documented in some areas (Bach et al. 2021). Warming has also caused decreases in abundances of gorgonians (Chimienti et al. 2021), and heatwaves have caused mass mortality of barnacles (Hesketh & Harley 2023), gorgonians, sponges, bryozoans, cockles, and clams (Cerrano & Bavestrello 2008, Garrabou et al. 2009, Raymond et al. 2022). Moreover, lower pH increases energetic costs in calcareous foundation species such as clams, cockles, maerl, and many polychaetes, and these species can therefore be negatively affected by ocean acidification (Martin & Hall-Spencer 2017, Ong et al. 2017, Smith et al. 2013).

As for most other foundation species, there is evidence that co-occurring stressors modify impacts. For example, heatwaves were most severe on intertidal barnacles in wave-sheltered harbors and on low, sunlit sloping rocks, and cockles were more affected when heatwaves coincided with spring low tides in the middle of the day (Hesketh & Harley 2023). Furthermore, high temperatures can increase diseases by facilitating infections by pathogens and parasites (Cerrano & Bavestrello 2008), sometimes resulting in increased susceptibility to predation (Magalhães et al. 2018). Strong marine heatwaves in the Mediterranean Sea have also caused deeper and stronger vertical stratification, thereby reducing food for suspension-feeding gorgonians, bryozoans, and hydroids (Cerrano & Bavestrello 2008, Garrabou et al. 2009).

## **10. SUPPORTING FOUNDATION SPECIES IN THE ANTHROPOCENE**

There is a clear trend for continued decline in the extent, cover, and condition of foundation species in a future of increasing climatic stress. Prompt and proactive management, protection, and restoration actions can help slow or reverse these trends. While reducing greenhouse gas emissions must remain a priority, we must also invest in ameliorating other interacting coastal stressors. It is also important to recognize that some pristine areas still exist, and protection of these bright spots, which may represent future refugia, will also be important. For example, given the dire prognosis for the world's coral reefs (Cooley et al. 2022a), much research is now focused on developing methods that will enhance the thermal tolerance of corals. Approaches such as assisted evolution-including selective breeding of corals, stress exposure of corals to induce acclimatization, laboratory evolution of Symbiodiniaceae through mutagenesis and/or selection, and active modification of the community composition of the coral microbiome (eukaryotic and prokaryotic)—are being proposed to help corals adapt to climate change (van Oppen et al. 2015), and similar approaches are increasingly proposed for other foundation species as well (e.g., Coleman et al. 2020b). Even previously controversial interventions to develop super-corals have become more broadly accepted since the devastation of the 2014–2017 global bleaching event (Voolstra et al. 2021), but such approaches have had limited success in producing persistent changes in thermal tolerance. Even if successful, the capacity to implement reef restoration at the scales required to make a meaningful difference remains questionable. At best. such approaches could buy foundation species some time at very small scales while greenhouse gas emissions are being reduced. Directly mitigating climate change is inarguably the only way to ensure the persistence of foundation species and their ecosystems into the future.

While reducing stressors is essential for the continued persistence of foundation species, reversing the damage is possible in many places through restoration of lost or degraded ecosystems. The history and success of restoration vary among taxa, but such restorations can be difficult, costly, and prone to failure, particularly for subtidal foundation species. Substantial advances have been made in our ability to restore some ecosystems, like mangroves, salt marshes, oyster reefs, and even seagrasses. Cost-effective and scalable methods involve harnessing passive restoration methods and using propagules instead of transplanting mature individuals (Fredriksen et al. 2020, Vanderklift et al. 2020). Concurrent with restoration, incorporation of nature-based design principles into coastal planning can help maintain populations of foundation species within urban environments, integrating space for foundation species within coastal infrastructure like seawalls, and designing future infrastructure to be compatible with the likely need for landward migration (Firth et al. 2016).

In this era of rapid environmental change, there is also a need for proactive actions to boost the resilience of foundation species to climate change (Coleman et al. 2020b, van Oppen et al. 2015). Such actions could be combined with restoration (see above) or could be done proactively in healthy populations that are vulnerable to future change. Strategies include assisted adaptation or evolution, assisted gene flow, genetic rescue, and assisted migration (e.g., Coleman et al. 2020b, van Oppen et al. 2015). While the terminology varies, these strategies generally revolve around moving, introducing, or increasing the frequency of putatively resilient genotypes in populations, which could be thermally resilient genotypes or those that have a higher tolerance for other stressors that are predicted to increase in the future. Another, albeit more controversial, strategy to boost the resilience of foundation species is hybridization between species or genetic engineering. Tools such as CRISPR-Cas9 can be used to insert genes that might change the performance of individuals under stress, and this has been done in terrestrial settings. For marine foundation species, this technique has been trialed in the laboratory for corals (Cleves et al. 2020) but has not yet been extended to other species. The underpinning genomic knowledge for almost all foundation species is currently lacking to facilitate these approaches, and this lack remains a large gap to overcome before progress can be made.

There is also the option of accepting and adapting to climate-induced change in foundation species, including the rearrangement of foundational ecosystems. There are many instances where it will be futile to try to maintain the current status of foundation species (e.g., the position of warm range edges) or where the resources required to boost resilience will outweigh the benefits. Central to the decision of whether to act will be understanding how ecosystems will rearrange and what ecosystem services might flow from future foundation species. Rather than attempting to maintain individual species in certain areas, we could seek to maintain critical ecological functions and ecosystem services and accept that there are also new opportunities and services provided in future states.

Marine foundation species play a critical role in maintaining the integrity of marine ecosystems and extensive benefits to coastal peoples. However, rising ocean temperatures, marine heatwaves, extreme weather, sea level rise, and ocean acidification are pushing these important species to their limits, jeopardizing entire ecosystems. While treating the symptoms of climate change through conservation efforts and habitat restoration is important, we must recognize the urgency of addressing the root cause—greenhouse gas emissions—and prioritize reducing our carbon footprint. Only by taking bold action to mitigate emissions can we safeguard the future of marine foundation species and the invaluable services they provide.

#### SUMMARY POINTS

- 1. Foundation species are dominant species that provide a physical framework for associated communities. The most well-known marine foundation species include corals, kelps, seagrasses, salt marsh plants, mangroves, and bivalves.
- 2. The distribution, abundance, and ecological performance of foundation species have already been modified by climate change, particularly gradual atmospheric and ocean warming, stronger and more frequent heatwaves, and (to a lesser extent) ocean acidification, sea level rise, and perhaps stronger storms.
- Impacts on foundation species have caused dramatic cascading changes to ecological communities, ecosystem functioning, and provision of ecosystem services.
- 4. Direct effects of climate change on foundation species are exacerbated by co-occurring anthropogenic stressors, including coastal development and seawalls, which increase habitat homogenization and coastal squeeze during sea level rise; overfishing of

predators, which can increase herbivory from sea urchins or fishes; and pollution and eutrophication, which reduce water quality, compromising the health of foundation species and facilitating weedy species.

- 5. Already documented impacts to foundation species are predicted to accelerate over the next 50–80 years if climate changes follow current model projections.
- 6. Because mitigating or reversing direct climate change drivers is nearly impossible at local scales, immediate local actions should focus on management of the foundation species themselves (e.g., protection of organisms that are still healthy, restoration using strains that can tolerate higher temperatures, or assisted colonization of species that can tolerate higher temperatures), combined with management of co-occurring stressors (e.g., reducing nutrient pollution, removing barriers to upland migration, coplanting alkaline shells, or reducing fishing of apex predators).

#### **FUTURE ISSUES**

- 1. We need better maps of the distribution, abundance, and condition of marine foundation species, particularly for foundation species that are difficult to see on satellite images (subtidal species as well as intertidal species with narrow vertical ranges).
- We need to build conceptual and predictive quantitative models for interaction effects within and between different climate change stressors and other human stressors on different foundation species.
- We need to study and better understand limitations to climate change–related range shifts, like poleward and upward range changes.
- 4. We need to study and better understand complex, counterintuitive, and cascading impacts from climate changes such as acidification, which may decrease predation pressure and indirectly increase grazing rates from shell-forming herbivores.
- 5. We need to study and better understand when and where primary foundation species are replaced with alternative foundation species, the likelihood and factors influencing recovery, and associated changes to ecosystem services.
- 6. We need to apply the full management toolbox to maintain marine foundation species and the ecosystem functioning and services they provide, including consideration of increasing heat tolerance (e.g., via genetic strain selections) and various forms of active restoration.
- We need to focus on solution-oriented research to improve restoration success and reduce restoration costs, as well as to integrate foundation species into coastal infrastructure to create opportunities for species maintenance and migration while protecting coastal assets.

#### **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

T.W. and M.S.T. led the manuscript preparation process based on overall conceptualization by T.W., M.S.T., K.F.-D., D.A.S., and M.A.C. The first drafts of Sections 1, 2, and 10 were written by M.S.T., T.W., M.A.C., and D.A.S. The first drafts of the other sections were written by J.K.B. and J.F.B. (Section 3); K.F.-D. and S.S. (Section 4); K.G. and M.A.V. (Section 5); B.S., Q.H., and K.R. (Section 6); K.R., D.M., and M.A.V. (Section 7); M.J.B. and M.S.T. (Section 8); and M.S.T. (Section 9). All authors provided critical edits to the full manuscript.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (DP200100201 to T.W. and M.A.C., DP220100650 to T.W. and K.F.-D., LP180100732 and DP230100408 to M.J.B., and DP210100739 to K.R.). M.S.T. was supported by the New Zealand Ministry of Business, Innovation, and Employment (UOWX2206). D.A.S. was supported by a UK Research and Innovation Future Leaders Fellowship (MR/S032827/1). We thank A. Minne for creative assistance with drawing **Figures 1**, **2**, and **3**.

#### LITERATURE CITED

Adam P. 1990. Saltmarsh Ecology. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press

- Adams JB, Rajkaran A. 2021. Changes in mangroves at their southernmost African distribution limit. Estuar: Coast. Shelf Sci. 248:107158
- Alexandre A, Quintã R, Hill PW, Jones DL, Santos R. 2020. Ocean warming increases the nitrogen demand and the uptake of organic nitrogen of the globally distributed seagrass Zostera marina. Funct. Ecol. 34:1325–35
- Amaral V, Cabral HN, Bishop MJ. 2011. Resistance among wild invertebrate populations to recurrent estuarine acidification. *Estuar: Coast. Shelf Sci.* 93:460–67
- Andriana R, van der Ouderaa I, Eriksson BK. 2020. A Pacific oyster invasion transforms shellfish reef structure by changing the development of associated seaweeds. *Estuar: Coast. Shelf Sci.* 235:106564
- Anton A, Randle JL, Garcia FC, Rossbach S, Ellis JI, et al. 2020. Differential thermal tolerance between algae and corals may trigger the proliferation of algae in coral reefs. *Glob. Change Biol.* 26:4316–27
- Arias-Ortiz A, Serrano O, Masqué P, Lavery PS, Mueller U, et al. 2018. A marine heatwave drives massive losses from the world's largest seagrass carbon stocks. *Nat. Clim. Change* 8:338–44
- Aronson R, Precht W, Toscano M, Koltes K. 2002. The 1998 bleaching event and its aftermath on a coral reef in Belize. Mar. Biol. 141:435–47
- Arp WJ, Drake BG, Pockman WT, Curtis PS, Whigham DF. 1993. Interactions between C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> salt marsh plant species during four years of exposure to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Vegetatio 104:133–43
- Asbridge E, Lucas R, Rogers K, Accad A. 2018. The extent of mangrove change and potential for recovery following severe Tropical Cyclone Yasi, Hinchinbrook Island, Queensland, Australia. BMC Ecol. Evol. 8:10416–34
- Assis J, Serrão EA, Duarte CM, Fragkopoulou E, Krause-Jensen D. 2022. Major expansion of marine forests in a warmer Arctic. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 9:850368
- Aung TT, Mochida Y, Than MM. 2013. Prediction of recovery pathways of cyclone-disturbed mangroves in the mega delta of Myanmar. For. Ecol. Manag. 293:103–13
- Bach LT, Tamsitt V, Gower J, Hurd CL, Raven JA, Boyd PW. 2021. Testing the climate intervention potential of ocean afforestation using the Great Atlantic Sargassum Belt. Nat. Commun. 12:2556
- Baird AH, Marshall PA. 1998. Mass bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs 17:376
- Baldwin A, Egnotovich M, Ford M, Platt W. 2001. Regeneration in fringe mangrove forests damaged by Hurricane Andrew. *Plant Ecol.* 157:151–64
- Barbier EB, Hacker SD, Kennedy CJ, Koch EW, Stier AC, Silliman BR. 2011. The value of estuarine and coastal ecosystem services. *Ecol. Monogr.* 81:169–93

- Bates AE, Pecl GT, Frusher S, Hobday AJ, Wernberg T, et al. 2014. Defining and observing stages of climatemediated range shifts in marine systems. *Glob. Environ. Change* 26:27–38
- Baum JK, Claar DC, Tietjen KL, Magel JMT, Maucieri DG, et al. 2023. Transformation of coral communities subjected to an unprecedented heatwave is modulated by local disturbance. Sci. Adv. 9:eabq5615
- Beca-Carretero P, Teichberg M, Winters G, Procaccini G, Reuter H. 2020. Projected rapid habitat expansion of tropical seagrass species in the Mediterranean Sea as climate change progresses. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:555376
- Beck MW, Brumbaugh RD, Airoldi L, Carranza A, Coen LD, et al. 2011. Oyster reefs at risk and recommendations for conservation, restoration, and management. *BioScience* 61:107–16
- Beck MW, Losada IJ, Menéndez P, Reguero BG, Díaz-Simal P, Fernández F. 2018. The global flood protection savings provided by coral reefs. *Nat. Commun.* 9:2186
- Bellard C, Bertelsmeier C, Leadley P, Thuiller W, Courchamp F. 2012. Impacts of climate change on the future of biodiversity. *Ecol. Lett.* 15:365–77
- Bertness MD. 1984. Ribbed mussels and Spartina alterniflora production in a New England salt marsh. Ecology 65:1794–807
- Beukema JJ, Dekker R. 2014. Variability in predator abundance links winter temperatures and bivalve recruitment: correlative evidence from long-term data in a tidal flat. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 513:1–15
- Beyer HL, Kennedy EV, Beger M, Chen CA, Cinner JE, et al. 2018. Risk-sensitive planning for conserving coral reefs under rapid climate change. *Conserv. Lett.* 11:e12587
- Bolton J. 2010. The biogeography of kelps (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae): a global analysis with new insights from recent advances in molecular phylogenetics. *Helgol. Mar. Res.* 64:263–79
- Bonsell C, Dunton KH. 2018. Long-term patterns of benthic irradiance and kelp production in the central Beaufort sea reveal implications of warming for Arctic inner shelves. Prog. Oceanogr. 162:160–70
- Bookelaar B, Lynch SA, Culloty SC. 2020. Host plasticity supports spread of an aquaculture introduced virus to an ecosystem engineer. *Parasites Vectors* 13:498
- Borges FO, Santos CP, Paula JR, Mateos-Naranjo E, Redondo-Gomez S, et al. 2021. Invasion and extirpation potential of native and invasive Spartina species under climate change. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:696333
- Breitburg D, Levin LA, Oschlies A, Grégoire M, Chavez FP, et al. 2018. Declining oxygen in the global ocean and coastal waters. *Science* 359:eaam7240
- Bruno JF, Bertness MD. 2001. Habitat modification and facilitation in benthic marine communities. In Marine Community Ecology, ed. MD Bertness, ME Hay, SD Gaines, pp. 201–18. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer
- Bruno JF, Côté IM, Toth LT. 2019. Climate change, coral loss, and the curious case of the parrotfish paradigm: Why don't marine protected areas improve reef resilience? *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 11:307–34
- Bruno JF, Siddon C, Witman J, Colin P, Toscano M. 2001. El Niño related coral bleaching in Palau, Western Caroline Islands. *Coral Reefs* 20:127–36
- Bunting P, Rosenqvist A, Hilarides L, Lucas RM, Thomas N. 2022. Global Mangrove Watch: updated 2010 mangrove forest extent (v2.5). *Remote Sens.* 14:1034
- Buñuel X, Alcoverro T, Romero J, Arthur R, Ruiz JM, et al. 2021. Warming intensifies the interaction between the temperate seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* and its dominant fish herbivore *Sarpa salpa*. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 165:105237
- Burkholz C, Garcias-Bonet N, Duarte CM. 2020. Warming enhances carbon dioxide and methane fluxes from Red Sea seagrass (*Halophila stipulacea*) sediments. *Biogeosciences* 17:1717–30
- Bushek D, Ford SE, Burt I. 2012. Long-term patterns of an estuarine pathogen along a salinity gradient. J. Mar. Res. 70:225–51
- Cahoon DR, Hensel P, Rybczyk J, McKee KL, Proffitt CE, Perez BC. 2003. Mass tree mortality leads to mangrove peat collapse at Bay Islands, Honduras after Hurricane Mitch. *J. Ecol.* 91:1093–105
- Campbell AD, Fatoyinbo L, Goldberg L, Lagomasino D. 2022. Global hotspots of salt marsh change and carbon emissions. *Nature* 612:701–6
- Carr JA, D'Odorico P, McGlathery KJ, Wiberg PL. 2012. Modeling the effects of climate change on eelgrass stability and resilience: future scenarios and leading indicators of collapse. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 448:289– 301
- Cavanaugh KC, Kellner JR, Forde AJ, Gruner DS, Parker JD, et al. 2014. Poleward expansion of mangroves is a threshold response to decreased frequency of extreme cold events. *PNAS* 111:723–27

- Cerrano C, Bavestrello G. 2008. Medium-term effects of die-off of rocky benthos in the Ligurian Sea. What can we learn from gorgonians? *Chem. Ecol.* 24:73–82
- Chefaoui RM, Duarte CM, Serrão EA. 2018. Dramatic loss of seagrass habitat under projected climate change in the Mediterranean Sea. Glob. Change Biol. 24:4919–28
- Chimienti G, De Padova D, Adamo M, Mossa M, Bottalico A, et al. 2021. Effects of global warming on Mediterranean coral forests. *Sci. Rep.* 11:20703
- Clark HR, Gobler CJ. 2016. Diurnal fluctuations in CO<sub>2</sub> and dissolved oxygen concentrations do not provide a refuge from hypoxia and acidification for early-life-stage bivalves. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 558:1–14
- Clemente KJE, Thomsen MS, Zimmerman RC. 2023. The vulnerability and resilience of seagrass ecosystems to marine heatwaves in New Zealand: a remote sensing analysis of seascape metrics using PlanetScope imagery. *Remote Sens. Ecol. Conserv.* https://doi.org/10.1002/rse2.343
- Cleves PA, Tinoco AI, Bradford J, Perrin D, Bay LK, Pringle JR. 2020. Reduced thermal tolerance in a coral carrying CRISPR-induced mutations in the gene for a heat-shock transcription factor. *PNAS* 117:28899–905
- Coldren GA, Langley JA, Feller IC, Chapman SK. 2019. Warming accelerates mangrove expansion and surface elevation gain in a subtropical wetland. J. Ecol. 107:79–90
- Cole VJ, Parker LM, Scanes E, Wright J, Barnett L, Ross PM. 2021. Climate change alters shellfish reef communities: a temperate mesocosm experiment. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 173:113113
- Coleman MA, Minne AJP, Vranken S, Wernberg T. 2020a. Genetic tropicalisation following a marine heatwave. Sci. Rep. 10:12726
- Coleman MA, Reddy M, Nimbs MJ, Marshell A, Al-Ghassani SA, et al. 2022. Loss of a globally unique kelp forest from Oman. *Sci. Rep.* 12:5020
- Coleman MA, Wernberg T. 2020. The silver lining of extreme events. Trends Ecol. Evol. 35:1065-67
- Coleman MA, Wood G, Filbee-Dexter K, Minne AJP, Goold HD, et al. 2020b. Restore or redefine: future trajectories for restoration. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 7:237
- Collins M, Knutti R, Arblaster J, Dufresne J-L, Fichefet T, et al. 2013. Long-term climate change: projections, commitments and irreversibility. In *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis; Contribution of Work-ing Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, ed. TF Stocker, D Qin, G-K Plattner, M Tignor, SK Allen, et al., pp. 1029–136. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Cooley SR, Schoeman DS, Bopp L, Boyd P, Donner S, et al. 2022a. Ocean and coastal ecosystems and their services. See IPCC 2022, pp. 379–550
- Cooley SR, Schoeman DS, Bopp L, Boyd P, Donner S, et al. 2022b. Ocean and coastal ecosystems and their services supplementary material. See IPCC 2022, pp. 3SM-1–68. Available at https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg2/downloads
- Correia-Martins A, Tremblay R, Bec B, Roques C, Atteia A, et al. 2022. Failure of bivalve foundation species recruitment related to trophic changes during an extreme heatwave event. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 691:69–82
- Crain CM, Kroeker K, Halpern BS. 2008. Interactive and cumulative effects of multiple human stressors in marine systems. *Ecol. Lett.* 11:1304–15
- Crosby SC, Angermeyer A, Adler JM, Bertness MD, Deegan LA, et al. 2017. Spartina alterniflora biomass allocation and temperature: implications for salt marsh persistence with sea-level rise. Estuaries Coasts 40:213–23
- Darling ES, Côté IM. 2008. Quantifying the evidence for ecological synergies. Ecol. Lett. 11:1278-86
- Davidson NC, Van Dam AA, Finlayson CM, McInnes RJ. 2019. Worth of wetlands: revised global monetary values of coastal and inland wetland ecosystem services. *Mar. Freshw. Res.* 70:1189–94
- Dayton PK. 1972. Toward an understanding of community resilience and the potential effects of enrichments to the benthos at McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. In *Proceedings of the Colloquium on Conservation Problems* in Antarctica, ed. BC Parker, pp. 81–96. Lawrence, KS: Allen
- de Fouw J, Rehlmeyer K, van der Geest M, Smolders AJP, van der Heide T. 2022. Increased temperature reduces the positive effect of sulfide-detoxification mutualism on Zostera noltii nutrient uptake and growth. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 692:43–52
- de Kantzow M, Hick P, Becker JA, Whittington RJ. 2016. Effect of water temperature on mortality of Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas* associated with microvariant ostreid herpesvirus 1 (OsHV-1 μVar). *Aquac. Environ. Interact.* 8:419–28

- Dewsbury BM, Bhat M, Fourqurean JW. 2016. A review of seagrass economic valuations: gaps and progress in valuation approaches. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 18:68–77
- Diederich S, Nehls G, Van Beusekom JEE, Reise K. 2005. Introduced Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) in the northern Wadden Sea: invasion accelerated by warm summers? *Helgol. Mar. Res.* 59:97–106
- Dixon AM, Forster PM, Heron SF, Stoner AMK, Beger M. 2022. Future loss of local-scale thermal refugia in coral reef ecosystems. *PLOS Clim.* 1:e0000004
- Donelan SC, Ogburn MB, Breitburg D. 2023. Legacy of past exposure to hypoxia and warming regulates an ecosystem service provided by oysters. *Glob. Change Biol.* 29:1328–39
- Doney SC, Busch DS, Cooley SR, Kroeker KJ. 2020. The impacts of ocean acidification on marine ecosystems and reliant human communities. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 45:83–112
- Donovan MK, Burkepile DE, Kratochwill C, Shlesinger T, Sully S, et al. 2021. Local conditions magnify coral loss after marine heatwaves. Science 372:977–80
- Doody JP. 2004. 'Coastal squeeze'—an historical perspective. J. Coast. Conserv. 10:129-38
- Duarte B, Martins I, Rosa R, Matos AR, Roleda MY, et al. 2018. Climate change impacts on seagrass meadows and macroalgal forests: an integrative perspective on acclimation and adaptation potential. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 5:190
- Duarte CM. 2014. Global change and the future ocean: a grand challenge for marine sciences. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 1:63
- Duke NC, Ball MC, Ellison JC. 1998. Factors influencing biodiversity and distributional gradients in mangroves. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. Lett. 7:27–47
- Duke NC, Hutley LB, Mackenzie JR, Burrows D. 2021. Processes and factors driving change in mangrove forests: an evaluation based on the mass dieback event in Australia's Gulf of Carpentaria. In *Ecosystem Collapse and Climate Change*, ed. JG Canadell, RB Jackson, pp. 221–64. Cham, Switz.: Springer
- Dunic JC, Brown CJ, Connolly RM, Turschwell MP, Côté IM. 2021. Long-term declines and recovery of meadow area across the world's seagrass bioregions. *Glob. Change Biol.* 27:4096–109
- Eddy TD, Lam VWY, Reygondeau G, Cisneros-Montemayor AM, Greer K, et al. 2021. Global decline in capacity of coral reefs to provide ecosystem services. *One Earth* 4:1278–85
- Ellison AM, Farnsworth EJ. 1997. Simulated sea level change alters anatomy, physiology, growth, and reproduction of red mangrove (*Rhizophora mangle* L.). *Oecologia* 112:435–46
- Emanuel K. 2020. Evidence that hurricanes are getting stronger. PNAS 117:13194-95
- Eslami-Andargoli L, Dale P, Sipe N, Chaseling J. 2009. Mangrove expansion and rainfall patterns in Moreton Bay, Southeast Queensland, Australia. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 85:292–98
- Fagherazzi S, Mariotti G, Leonardi N, Canestrelli A, Nardin W, Kearney WS. 2020. Salt marsh dynamics in a period of accelerated sea level rise. J. Geophys. Res. 125:e2019JF005200
- Farnsworth EJ, Ellison AM, Gong WK. 1996. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alters anatomy, physiology, growth and reproduction of red mangroves (*Rhizophora mangle* L.). *Oecologia* 108:599–609
- Fazlioglu F, Wan JSH, Chen L. 2020. Latitudinal shifts in mangrove species worldwide: evidence from historical occurrence records. *Hydrobiologia* 847:4111–23
- Feher LC, Osland MJ, Anderson GH, Vervaeke WC, Krauss KW, et al. 2020. The long-term effects of hurricanes Wilma and Irma on soil elevation change in Everglades mangrove forests. *Ecosystems* 23:917–31
- Filbee-Dexter K, Feehan C, Smale D, Krumhansl K, Augustine S, et al. 2022a. Kelp carbon sink potential decreases with warming due to accelerating decomposition. *PLOS Biol.* 20:e3001702
- Filbee-Dexter K, Scheibling RE. 2014. Sea urchin barrens as alternative stable states of collapsed kelp ecosystems. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 495:1–25
- Filbee-Dexter K, Wernberg T. 2018. Rise of turfs: a new battlefront for globally declining kelp forests. BioScience 68:64-76
- Filbee-Dexter K, Wernberg T, Barreiro R, Coleman MA, de Bettignies T, et al. 2022b. Leveraging the blue economy to transform marine forest restoration. J. Phycol. 58:198–207
- Filbee-Dexter K, Wernberg T, Fredriksen S, Norderhaug KM, Pedersen MF. 2019. Arctic kelp forests: diversity, resilience and future. *Glob. Planet. Change* 172:1–14
- Filbee-Dexter K, Wernberg T, Grace SP, Thormar J, Fredriksen S, et al. 2020. Marine heatwaves and the collapse of marginal North Atlantic kelp forests. *Sci. Rep.* 10:13388

- Firth LB, Knights AM, Bridger D, Evans AJ, Mieszkowska N, et al. 2016. Ocean sprawl: challenges and opportunities for biodiversity management in a changing world. In *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review*, Vol. 54, ed. RN Hughes, DJ Hughes, IP Smith, AC Dale, pp. 189–262. Boca Raton, FL: CRC
- Fodrie FJ, Heck KL Jr., Powers SP, Graham WM, Robinson KL. 2010. Climate-related, decadal-scale assemblage changes of seagrass-associated fishes in the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Glob. Change Biol.* 16:48–59
- Fragkopoulou E, Serrão EA, De Clerck O, Costello MJ, Araújo MB, et al. 2022. Global biodiversity patterns of marine forests of brown macroalgae. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 31:636–48
- Fredriksen S, Filbee-Dexter K, Norderhaug KM, Steen H, Bodvin T, et al. 2020. Green gravel: a novel restoration tool to combat kelp forests decline. *Sci. Rep.* 10:3983
- Gabler CA, Osland MJ, Grace JB, Stagg CL, Day RH, et al. 2017. Macroclimatic change expected to transform coastal wetland ecosystems this century. *Nat. Clim. Change* 7:142–47
- Garrabou J, Coma R, Bensoussan N, Bally M, Chevaldonné P, et al. 2009. Mass mortality in Northwestern Mediterranean rocky benthic communities: effects of the 2003 heat wave. *Glob. Change Biol.* 15:1090–103
- Gedan KB, Bertness MD. 2009. Experimental warming causes rapid loss of plant diversity in New England salt marshes. Ecol. Lett. 12:842–48
- George R, Gullström M, Mtolera MSP, Lyimo TJ, Björk M. 2020. Methane emission and sulfide levels increase in tropical seagrass sediments during temperature stress: a mesocosm experiment. BMC Ecol. Evol. 10:1917–28
- Glasby TM, Gibson PT, Laird R, Swadling DS, West G. 2023. Black summer bushfires caused extensive damage to estuarine wetlands in New South Wales, Australia. *Ecol. Manag. Restor. Ecol.* 24:27–35
- Gledhill JH, Barnett AF, Slattery M, Willett KL, Easson GL, et al. 2020. Mass mortality of the eastern oyster Crassostrea virginica in the western Mississippi Sound following unprecedented Mississippi River flooding in 2019. J. Shellfish Res. 39:235–44
- Glynn PW. 1993. Coral reef bleaching: ecological perspectives. Coral Reefs 12:1-17
- Grabowski JH, Brumbaugh RD, Conrad RF, Keeler AG, Opaluch JJ, et al. 2012. Economic valuation of ecosystem services provided by oyster reefs. *BioScience* 62:900–9
- Groner ML, Eisenlord ME, Yoshioka RM, Fiorenza EA, Dawkins PD, et al. 2021. Warming sea surface temperatures fuel summer epidemics of eelgrass wasting disease. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 679:47–58
- Guerrero-Meseguer L, Cox TE, Sanz-Lázaro C, Schmid S, Enzor LA, et al. 2020. Does ocean acidification benefit seagrasses in a mesohaline environment? A mesocosm experiment in the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Estuaries Coasts* 43:1377–93
- Gundersen H, Bryan T, Chen W, Moy FE, Sandman ANS, et al. 2017. Ecosystem services in the coastal zone of the Nordic countries. Rep., Nordic Counc. Minist., Copenhagen, Den.
- Gurgel CFD, Camacho O, Minne AJP, Wernberg T, Coleman MA. 2020. Marine heatwave drives cryptic loss of genetic diversity in underwater forests. *Curr. Biol.* 30:1199–206.e2
- Harley CDG. 2008. Tidal dynamics, topographic orientation, and temperature-mediated mass mortalities on rocky shores. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 371:37–46
- Harris DL, Rovere A, Casella E, Power H, Canavesio R, et al. 2018. Coral reef structural complexity provides important coastal protection from waves under rising sea levels. *Sci. Adv.* 4:eaao4350
- He Q, Silliman BR. 2019. Climate change, human impacts, and coastal ecosystems in the Anthropocene. Curr. Biol. 29:R1021–35
- He Q, Silliman BR, Liu Z, Cui B. 2017. Natural enemies govern ecosystem resilience in the face of extreme droughts. *Ecol. Lett.* 20:194–201
- Helmuth B, Harley CDG, Halpin PM, O'Donnell M, Hofmann GE, Blanchette CA. 2002. Climate change and latitudinal patterns of intertidal thermal stress. *Science* 298:1015–17
- Hesketh AV, Harley CDG. 2023. Extreme heatwave drives topography-dependent patterns of mortality in a bed-forming intertidal barnacle, with implications for associated community structure. *Glob. Change Biol.* 29:165–78
- Hoegh-Guldberg O. 2011. Coral reef ecosystems and anthropogenic climate change. *Reg. Environ. Change* 11:215–27

- Hudson CJ, Agostini S, Wada S, Hall-Spencer JM, Connell SD, Harvey BP. 2023. Ocean acidification increases the impact of typhoons on algal communities. *Sci. Total Environ.* 865:161269
- Hughes TP, Anderson KD, Connolly SR, Heron SF, Kerry JT, et al. 2018. Spatial and temporal patterns of mass bleaching of corals in the Anthropocene. *Science* 359:80–83
- Hughes TP, Kerry JT, Álvarez-Noriega M, Álvarez-Romero JG, Anderson KD, et al. 2017. Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. *Nature* 543:373–77
- Huston MA. 1994. Biological Diversity: The Coexistence of Species on Changing Landscapes. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Hyndes GA, Heck KL, Vergés A, Harvey ES, Kendrick GA, et al. 2016. Accelerating tropicalization and the transformation of temperate seagrass meadows. *BioScience* 66:938–48
- IPCC (Intergov. Panel Clim. Change). 2021. Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis; Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, ed. V Masson-Delmotte, P Zhai, A Pirani, SL Connors, C Péan, et al. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- IPCC (Intergov. Panel Clim. Change). 2022. Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability; Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, ed. H-O Pörtner, DC Roberts, M Tignor, ES Poloczanska, K Mintenbeck, et al. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Jacotot A, Marchand C, Gensous S, Allenbach M. 2018. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and increased tidal flooding on leaf gas-exchange parameters of two common mangrove species: *Avicennia marina* and *Rhizophora stylosa*. *Photosynth. Res.* 138:249–60
- Jayathilake DRM, Costello MJ. 2018. A modelled global distribution of the seagrass biome. *Biol. Conserv.* 226:120–26
- Jayathilake DRM, Costello MJ. 2021. Version 2 of the world map of laminarian kelp benefits from more Arctic data and makes it the largest marine biome. *Biol. Conserv.* 257:109099
- Jones CG, Lawton JH, Shachak M. 1997. Positive and negative effects of organisms as physical ecosystem engineers. *Ecology* 78:1946–57
- Keith DA, Ferrer-Paris JR, Nicholson E, Bishop MJ, Polidoro BA, et al. 2022. A function-based typology for Earth's ecosystems. *Nature* 610:513–18
- Kendrick GA, Nowicki RJ, Olsen YS, Strydom S, Fraser MW, et al. 2019. A systematic review of how multiple stressors from an extreme event drove ecosystem-wide loss of resilience in an iconic seagrass community. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 6:455
- Kilminster K, McMahon K, Waycott M, Kendrick GA, Scanes P, et al. 2015. Unravelling complexity in seagrass systems for management: Australia as a microcosm. Sci. Total Environ. 534:97–109
- Kirwan ML, Gedan KB. 2019. Sea-level driven land conversion and the formation of ghost forests. Nat. Clim. Change 9:450–57
- Kitchel ZJ, Conrad HM, Selden RL, Pinsky ML. 2022. The role of continental shelf bathymetry in shaping marine range shifts in the face of climate change. *Glob. Change Biol.* 28:5185–99
- Koch M, Bowes G, Ross C, Zhang XH. 2013. Climate change and ocean acidification effects on seagrasses and marine macroalgae. *Glob. Change Biol.* 19:103–32
- Kochmann J, Buschbaum C, Volkenborn N, Reise K. 2008. Shift from native mussels to alien oysters: differential effects of ecosystem engineers. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 364:1–10
- Kordas RL, Harley CDG, O'Connor MI. 2011. Community ecology in a warming world: the influence of temperature on interspecific interactions in marine systems. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 400:218–26
- Krauss KW, McKee KL, Lovelock CE, Cahoon DR, Saintilan N, et al. 2014. How mangrove forests adjust to rising sea level. New Phytol. 202:19–34
- Krauss KW, Osland MJ. 2020. Tropical cyclones and the organization of mangrove forests: a review. Ann. Bot. 125:213–34
- Krumhansl KA, Okamoto DK, Rassweiler A, Novak M, Bolton JJ, et al. 2016. Global patterns of kelp forest change over the past half-century. PNAS 113:13785–90
- Lagomasino D, Fatoyinbo T, Castañeda-Moya E, Cook BD, Montesano PM, et al. 2021. Storm surge and ponding explain mangrove dieback in southwest Florida following Hurricane Irma. Nat. Commun. 12:4003

- Langston AK, Kaplan DA, Angelini C. 2017. Predation restricts black mangrove (Avicennia germinans) colonization at its northern range limit along Florida's Gulf Coast. Hydrobiologia 803:317–31
- Lenihan HS, Peterson CH. 1998. How habitat degradation through fishery disturbance enhances impacts of hypoxia on oyster reefs. *Ecol. Appl.* 8:128–40
- Leo KL, Gillies CL, Fitzsimons JA, Hale LZ, Beck MW. 2019. Coastal habitat squeeze: a review of adaptation solutions for saltmarsh, mangrove and beach habitats. Ocean Coast. Manag. 175:180–90
- Leung JYS, Zhang S, Connell SD. 2022. Is ocean acidification really a threat to marine calcifiers? A systematic review and meta-analysis of 980+ studies spanning two decades. *Small* 18:2107407
- Li J, Knapp DE, Fabina NS, Kennedy EV, Larsen K, et al. 2020. A global coral reef probability map generated using convolutional neural networks. *Coral Reefs* 39:1805–15
- Li S-H, Ge Z-M, Xie L-N, Chen W, Yuan L, et al. 2018. Ecophysiological response of native and exotic salt marsh vegetation to waterlogging and salinity: implications for the effects of sea-level rise. *Sci. Rep.* 8:2441
- Lindahl U, Öhman MC, Schelten CK. 2001. The 1997/1998 mass mortality of corals: effects on fish communities on a Tanzanian coral reef. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 42:127–31
- Ling SD. 2008. Range expansion of a habitat-modifying species leads to loss of taxonomic diversity: a new and impoverished reef state. *Oecologia* 156:883–94
- Ling SD, Johnson CR, Ridgway K, Hobday AJ, Haddon M. 2009. Climate-driven range extension of a sea urchin: inferring future trends by analysis of recent population dynamics. *Glob. Change Biol.* 15:719–31
- Logan CA, Dunne JP, Ryan JS, Baskett ML, Donner SD. 2021. Quantifying global potential for coral evolutionary response to climate change. Nat. Clim. Change 11:537–42
- Loya Y, Sakai K, Yamazato K, Nakano Y, Sambali H, van Woesik R. 2001. Coral bleaching: the winners and the losers. *Ecol. Lett.* 4:122–31
- Lugo AE, Snedaker SC. 1974. The ecology of mangroves. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 5:39-64
- Madden I, Mariwala A, Lindhart M, Narayan S, Arkema K, et al. 2023. Quantifying the fragility of the coral reefs to hurricane impacts: a case study of the Florida Keys and Puerto Rico. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 18:024034
- Madin JS, Allen AP, Baird AH, Pandolfi JM, Sommer B. 2016. Scope for latitudinal extension of reef corals is species specific. *Front. Biogeogr.* 8:e29328
- Magalhães L, de Montaudouin X, Figueira E, Freitas R. 2018. Trematode infection modulates cockles biochemical response to climate change. Sci. Total Environ. 637:30–40
- Magel CL, Chan F, Hessing-Lewis M, Hacker SD. 2022. Differential responses of eelgrass and macroalgae in Pacific Northwest estuaries following an unprecedented NE Pacific Ocean marine heatwave. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 9:838967
- Magel JMT, Dimoff SA, Baum JK. 2020. Direct and indirect effects of climate change-amplified pulse heat stress events on coral reef fish communities. *Bull. Ecol. Soc. Am.* 101:e01706
- Manea A, Geedicke I, Leishman MR. 2020. Elevated carbon dioxide and reduced salinity enhance mangrove seedling establishment in an artificial saltmarsh community. *Oecologia* 192:273–80
- Marbà N, Duarte CM. 2010. Mediterranean warming triggers seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) shoot mortality. Glob. Change Biol. 16:2366–75
- Markert A, Esser W, Frank D, Wehrmann A, Exo K-M. 2013. Habitat change by the formation of alien Crassostrea-reefs in the Wadden Sea and its role as feeding sites for waterbirds. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 131:41–51
- Markert A, Wehrmann A, Kröncke I. 2010. Recently established *Crassostrea*-reefs versus native *Mytilus*-beds: differences in ecosystem engineering affects the macrofaunal communities (Wadden Sea of Lower Saxony, southern German Bight). *Biol. Invasions* 12:15–32
- Marois DE, Mitsch WJ. 2015. Coastal protection from tsunamis and cyclones provided by mangrove wetlands – a review. Int. J. Biodivers. Sci. Ecosyst. Serv. Manag. 11:71–83
- Martin S, Hall-Spencer JM. 2017. Effects of ocean warming and acidification on rhodolith/maërl beds. In *Rhodolith/Maërl Beds: A Global Perspective*, ed. R Riosmena-Rodríguez, W Nelson, J Aguirre, pp. 55–85. Cham, Switz.: Springer
- McAfee D, Bishop MJ, Yu T-N, Williams GA. 2018. Structural traits dictate abiotic stress amelioration by intertidal oysters. *Funct. Ecol.* 32:2666–77

277

- McClenachan G, Witt M, Walters LJ. 2021. Replacement of oyster reefs by mangroves: unexpected climatedriven ecosystem shifts. *Glob. Change Biol.* 27:1226–38
- McKee KL. 2011. Biophysical controls on accretion and elevation change in Caribbean mangrove ecosystems. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 91:475–83
- McKee KL, Rogers K, Saintilan N. 2012. Response of salt marsh and mangrove wetlands to changes in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, climate, and sea level. In *Global Change and the Function and Distribution of Wetlands*, ed. BA Middleton, pp. 63–96. Dordrecht, Neth.: Springer
- McKenzie LJ, Nordlund LM, Jones BL, Cullen-Unsworth LC, Roelfsema C, Unsworth RKF. 2020. The global distribution of seagrass meadows. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 15:074041
- McOwen CJ, Weatherdon LV, Van Bochove J-W, Sullivan E, Blyth S, et al. 2017. A global map of saltmarshes. *Biodivers. Data J.* 5:e11764
- Medina E, Francisco M. 1997. Osmolality and 8<sup>13</sup>C of leaf tissues of mangrove species from environments of contrasting rainfall and salinity. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 45:337–44

Mislan KAS, Wethey DS. 2015. A biophysical basis for patchy mortality during heat waves. *Ecology* 96:902–7

- Möbius K. 1877. Die Auster und die Austernwirtschaft. Berlin: Wiegundt, Hempel & Parey Moon I-J, Kim S-H, Chan JCL. 2019. Climate change and tropical cyclone trend. Nature 570:E3–5
- Mora-Soto A, Capsey A, Friedlander AM, Palacios M, Brewin PE, et al. 2021. One of the least disturbed marine coastal ecosystems on Earth: spatial and temporal persistence of Darwin's sub-Antarctic giant kelp forests. *J. Biogeogr.* 48:2562–77
- Muir PR, Pichon M. 2019. Biodiversity of reef-building, scleractinian corals. In *Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems*, ed. Y Loya, K Puglise, T Bridge, pp. 589–620. Cham, Switz.: Springer
- Nowicki RJ, Thomson JA, Fourqurean JW, Wirsing AJ, Heithaus MR. 2021. Loss of predation risk from apex predators can exacerbate marine tropicalization caused by extreme climatic events. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 90:2041–52
- Oliver ECJ, Burrows MT, Donat MG, Sen Gupta A, Alexander LV, et al. 2019. Projected marine heatwaves in the 21st century and the potential for ecological impact. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 6:734
- Ong EZ, Briffa M, Moens T, Van Colen C. 2017. Physiological responses to ocean acidification and warming synergistically reduce condition of the common cockle *Cerastoderma edule*. Mar. Environ. Res. 130:38–47
- Osland M, Enwright N, Day R, Doyle T. 2013. Winter climate change and coastal wetland foundation species: salt marshes versus mangrove forests in the southeastern U.S. *Glob. Change Biol.* 19:1482–94
- Paine RT. 1966. Food web complexity and species diversity. Am. Nat. 100:65-75
- Paling EI, Kobryn HT, Humphreys G. 2008. Assessing the extent of mangrove change caused by Cyclone Vance in the eastern Exmouth Gulf, northwestern Australia. *Estuar: Coast. Shelf Sci.* 77:603–13
- Parker LM, Ross PM, O'Connor WA. 2009. The effect of ocean acidification and temperature on the fertilization and embryonic development of the Sydney rock oyster *Saccostrea glomerata* (Gould 1850). *Glob. Change Biol.* 15:2123–36
- Paul M, Bischoff C, Koop-Jakobsen K. 2022. Biomechanical traits of salt marsh vegetation are insensitive to future climate scenarios. Sci. Rep. 12:21272
- Pendleton L, Donato DC, Murray BC, Crooks S, Jenkins WA, et al. 2012. Estimating global "blue carbon" emissions from conversion and degradation of vegetated coastal ecosystems. *PLOS ONE* 7:e43542
- Pérez-Romero JA, Duarte B, Barcia-Piedras J-M, Matos AR, Redondo-Gómez S, et al. 2019. Investigating the physiological mechanisms underlying *Salicornia ramosissima* response to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment under coexistence of prolonged soil flooding and saline excess. *Plant Physiol. Biogeochem.* 135:149–59
- Pessarrodona A, Assis J, Filbee-Dexter K, Burrows MT, Gattuso J-P, et al. 2022. Global seaweed productivity. *Sci. Adv.* 8:eabn2465
- Pessarrodona A, Moore PJ, Sayer MDJ, Smale DA. 2018. Carbon assimilation and transfer through kelp forests in the NE Atlantic is diminished under a warmer ocean climate. *Glob. Change Biol.* 24:4386–98
- Phan LK, van Thiel de Vries JS, Stive MJ. 2014. Coastal mangrove squeeze in the Mekong Delta. J. Coast. Res. 31:233–43
- Pillay D, Waspe C. 2019. Grazer specialisation and temperature effects on epiphytic fouling: conservation implications for a temperate African seagrass (Zostera capensis). Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 629:235–41
- Pinsky ML, Selden RL, Kitchel ZJ. 2020. Climate-driven shifts in marine species ranges: scaling from organisms to communities. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 12:153–79

- Poloczanska ES, Brown CJ, Sydeman WJ, Kiessling W, Schoeman DS, et al. 2013. Global imprint of climate change on marine life. *Nat. Clim. Change* 3:919–25
- Pontee N, Tempest JA, Pye K, Blott SJ. 2022. Defining habitat losses due to coastal squeeze. In *Challenges in Estuarine and Coastal Science*, ed. J Humphreys, S Little, pp. 113–31. London: Pelagic
- Power ME, Tilman D, Estes JA, Menge BA, Bond WJ, et al. 1996. Challenges in the quest for keystones: identifying keystone species is difficult—but essential to understanding how loss of species will affect ecosystems. *BioScience* 46:609–20
- Precht WF, Aronson RB. 2004. Climate flickers and range shifts of reef corals. Front. Ecol. Environ. 2:307-14
- Rasheed MA, Unsworth RKF. 2011. Long-term climate-associated dynamics of a tropical seagrass meadow: implications for the future. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 422:93–103
- Rasquinha DN, Mishra DR. 2021. Tropical cyclones shape mangrove productivity gradients in the Indian subcontinent. Sci. Rep. 11:17355
- Ravaglioli C, Lardicci C, Pusceddu A, Arpe E, Bianchelli S, et al. 2020. Ocean acidification alters meiobenthic assemblage composition and organic matter degradation rates in seagrass sediments. *Limnol. Oceanogr*: 65:37–50
- Raw JL, Adams JB, Bornman TG, Riddin T, Vanderklift MA. 2021. Vulnerability to sea-level rise and the potential for restoration to enhance blue carbon storage in salt marshes of an urban estuary. *Estuar: Coast. Shelf Sci.* 260:107495
- Raw JL, Godbold JA, van Niekerk L, Adams JB. 2019. Drivers of mangrove distribution at the high-energy, wave-dominated, southern African range limit. *Estuar: Coast. Shelf Sci.* 226:106296
- Raymond WW, Barber JS, Dethier MN, Hayford HA, Harley CDG, et al. 2022. Assessment of the impacts of an unprecedented heatwave on intertidal shellfish of the Salish Sea. *Ecology* 103:e3798
- Reef R, Winter K, Morales J, Adame MF, Reef DL, Lovelock CE. 2015. The effect of atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations on the performance of the mangrove *Avicennia germinans* over a range of salinities. *Physiol. Plant.* 154:358–68
- Reguero BG, Storlazzi CD, Gibbs AE, Shope JB, Cole AD, et al. 2021. The value of US coral reefs for flood risk reduction. *Nat. Sustain.* 4:688–98
- Ribeiro RDA, Rovai AS, Twilley RR, Castañeda-Moya E. 2019. Spatial variability of mangrove primary productivity in the neotropics. *Ecosphere* 10:e02841
- Richardson JP, Lefcheck JS, Orth RJ. 2018. Warming temperatures alter the relative abundance and distribution of two co-occurring foundational seagrasses in Chesapeake Bay, USA. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 599:65–74
- Rogers K, Krauss KW. 2019. Moving from generalisations to specificity about mangrove–saltmarsh dynamics. Wetlands 39:1155–78
- Rogers-Bennett L, Catton CA. 2019. Marine heat wave and multiple stressors tip bull kelp forest to sea urchin barrens. Sci. Rep. 9:15050
- Ross PM, Harvey K, Vecchio EM, Beckers D. 2019. Impact of fire and the recovery of molluscs in south-east Australian salt marsh. *Ecol. Manag. Restor.* 20:126–35
- Ruesink JL, Lenihan HS, Trimble AC, Heiman KW, Micheli F, et al. 2005. Introduction of non-native oysters: ecosystem effects and restoration implications. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 36:643–89
- Saderne V, Cusack M, Almahasheer H, Serrano O, Masque P, et al. 2018. Accumulation of carbonates contributes to coastal vegetated ecosystems keeping pace with sea level rise in an arid region (Arabian Peninsula). J. Geophys. Res. 123:1498–510
- Saenger P. 2002. Mangrove Ecology, Silviculture and Conservation. Dordrecht, Neth.: Kluwer Acad.
- Saintilan N, Khan N, Ashe E, Kelleway J, Rogers K, et al. 2020. Thresholds of mangrove survival under rapid sea level rise. *Science* 368:1118–21
- Saintilan N, Rogers K. 2015. Woody plant encroachment of grasslands: a comparison of terrestrial and wetland settings. New Phytol. 205:1062–70
- Saintilan N, Wilson NC, Rogers K, Rajkaran A, Krauss KW. 2014. Mangrove expansion and salt marsh decline at mangrove poleward limits. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20:147–57

Sandilyan S, Kathiresan K. 2012. Mangrove conservation: a global perspective. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 21:3523–42 Sanford E. 1999. Regulation of keystone predation by small changes in ocean temperature. *Science* 283:2095–97

- Saunders MI, Metaxas A, Filgueira R. 2010. Implications of warming temperatures for population outbreaks of a nonindigenous species (*Membranipora membranacea*, Bryozoa) in rocky subtidal ecosystems. *Limnol.* Oceanogr. 55:1627–42
- Schuerch M, Spencer T, Temmerman S, Kirwan ML, Wolff C, et al. 2018. Future response of global coastal wetlands to sea-level rise. *Nature* 561:231–34
- Selig ER, Casey KS, Bruno JF. 2010. New insights into global patterns of ocean temperature anomalies: implications for coral reef health and management. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 19:397–411
- Sheppard C, Sheppard A, Fenner D. 2020. Coral mass mortalities in the Chagos Archipelago over 40 years: regional species and assemblage extinctions and indications of positive feedbacks. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 154:111075
- Short F, Carruthers T, Dennison W, Waycott M. 2007. Global seagrass distribution and diversity: a bioregional model. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 350:3-20
- Sigwart JD, Wong NLWS, Esa Y. 2021. Global controversy in oyster systematics and a newly described species from SE Asia (Bivalvia: Ostreidae: Crassostreinae). *Mar. Biodivers.* 51:83

Silliman BR. 2014. Salt marshes. Curr. Biol. 24:R348-50

- Silliman BR, Bertness M. 2002. A trophic cascade regulates salt marsh primary production. PNAS 99:10500-5
- Silliman BR, Bertness MD, Altieri AH, Griffin JN, Bazterrica MC, et al. 2011. Whole-community facilitation regulates biodiversity on Patagonian rocky shores. *PLOS ONE* 6:e24502
- Silliman BR, van de Koppel J, Bertness MD, Stanton LE, Mendelssohn IA. 2005. Drought, snails, and largescale die-off of southern U.S. salt marshes. *Science* 310:1803–6
- Simard M, Fatoyinbo L, Smetanka C, Rivera-Monroy VH, Castañeda-Moya E, et al. 2019. Mangrove canopy height globally related to precipitation, temperature and cyclone frequency. *Nat. Geosci.* 12:40
- Simonson EJ, Metaxas A, Scheibling RE. 2015. Kelp in hot water: II. Effects of warming seawater temperature on kelp quality as a food source and settlement substrate. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 537:105–19
- Sippo JZ, Lovelock CE, Santos IR, Sanders CJ, Maher DT. 2018. Mangrove mortality in a changing climate: an overview. *Estuar: Coast. Shelf Sci.* 215:241–49
- Smale DA. 2020. Impacts of ocean warming on kelp forest ecosystems. New Phytol. 225:1447-54
- Smale DA, Teagle H, Hawkins SJ, Jenkins HL, Frontier N, et al. 2022. Climate-driven substitution of foundation species causes breakdown of a facilitation cascade with potential implications for higher trophic levels. *J. Ecol.* 110:2132–44
- Smale DA, Wernberg T, Oliver EJJ, Thomsen MS, Harvey BP, et al. 2019. Marine heatwaves threaten global biodiversity and the provision of ecosystem services. *Nat. Clim. Change* 9:306–12
- Smale DA, Wernberg T, Yunnie ALE, Vance T. 2015. The rise of Laminaria ocbroleuca in the Western English Channel (UK) and comparisons with its competitor and assemblage dominant Laminaria hyperborea. Mar. Ecol. 36:1033–44
- Smith AM, Riedi MA, Winter DJ. 2013. Temperate reefs in a changing ocean: skeletal carbonate mineralogy of serpulids. Mar. Biol. 160:2281–94
- Smith JN. 2001. Why should we believe <sup>210</sup>Pb sediment geochronologies? J. Environ. Radioact. 55:121-23
- Smith KE, Burrows MT, Hobday AJ, Gupta AS, Moore PJ, et al. 2021. Socioeconomic impacts of marine heatwaves: global issues and opportunities. *Science* 374:eabj3593
- Smith KE, Burrows MT, Hobday AJ, King NG, Moore PJ, et al. 2023. Biological impacts of marine heatwaves. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 15:119–45
- Sorte CJ, Williams SL, Carlton JT. 2010. Marine range shifts and species introductions: comparative spread rates and community impacts. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 19:303–16
- Spalding M, Burke L, Wood SA, Ashpole J, Hutchison J, zu Ermgassen P. 2017. Mapping the global value and distribution of coral reef tourism. *Mar. Policy* 82:104–13
- Starko S, Neufeld CJ, Gendall L, Timmer B, Campbell L, et al. 2022. Microclimate predicts kelp forest extinction in the face of direct and indirect marine heatwave effects. *Ecol. Appl.* 32:e2673
- Stenseth NC, Mysterud A, Ottersen G, Hurrell JW, Chan K-S, Lima M. 2002. Ecological effects of climate fluctuations. Science 297:1292–96
- Strydom S, Murray K, Wilson S, Huntley B, Rule M, et al. 2020. Too hot to handle: unprecedented seagrass death driven by marine heatwave in a World Heritage Area. *Glob. Change Biol.* 26:3525–38

- Taillie PJ, Roman-Cuesta R, Lagomasino D, Cifuentes-Jara M, Fatoyinbo T, et al. 2020. Widespread mangrove damage resulting from the 2017 Atlantic mega hurricane season. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 15:064010
- Tait LW, Thoral F, Pinkerton MH, Thomsen MS, Schiel DR. 2021. Loss of giant kelp, Macrocystis pyrifera, driven by marine heatwaves and exacerbated by poor water clarity in New Zealand. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:721087
- Tanaka K, Taino S, Haraguchi H, Prendergast G, Hiraoka M. 2012. Warming off southwestern Japan linked to distributional shifts of subtidal canopy-forming seaweeds. BMC Ecol. Evol. 2:2854–65
- Teagle H, Smale DA. 2018. Climate-driven substitution of habitat-forming species leads to reduced biodiversity within a temperate marine community. *Divers. Distrib.* 24:1367–80
- Tews J, Brose U, Grimm V, Tielbörger K, Wichmann M, et al. 2004. Animal species diversity driven by habitat heterogeneity/diversity: the importance of keystone structures. J. Biogeogr. 31:79–92
- Theuerkauf SJ, Lipcius RN. 2016. Quantitative validation of a habitat suitability index for oyster restoration. Front. Mar. Sci. 3:64
- Thomsen MS, Mondardini L, Alestra T, Gerrity S, Tait L, et al. 2019. Local extinction of bull kelp (*Durvillaea* spp.) due to a marine heatwave. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 6:84
- Thomsen MS, South PM. 2019. Communities and attachment networks associated with primary, secondary and alternative foundation species; a case study of stressed and disturbed stands of southern bull kelp. *Diversity* 11:56
- Thomsen MS, Wernberg T, Altieri A, Tuya F, Gulbransen D, et al. 2010. Habitat cascades: the conceptual context and global relevance of facilitation cascades via habitat formation and modification. *Integr: Comp. Biol.* 50:158–75
- Thomsen MS, Wernberg T, Engelen AH, Tuya F, Vanderklift MA, et al. 2012. A meta-analysis of seaweed impacts on seagrasses: generalities and knowledge gaps. *PLOS ONE* 7:e28595
- Torio DD, Chmura GL. 2013. Assessing coastal squeeze of tidal wetlands. J. Coast. Res. 29:1049-61
- Trevathan-Tackett SM, Brodersen KE, Macreadie PI. 2020. Effects of elevated temperature on microbial breakdown of seagrass leaf and tea litter biomass. *Biogeochemistry* 151:171–85
- van Oppen MJH, Oliver JK, Putnam HM, Gates RD. 2015. Building coral reef resilience through assisted evolution. PNAS 112:2307–13
- Vanderklift MA, Doropoulos C, Gorman D, Leal I, Minne AJP, et al. 2020. Using propagules to restore coastal marine ecosystems. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 7:724
- Vergés A, Steinberg PD, Hay ME, Poore AGB, Campbell AH, et al. 2014. The tropicalization of temperate marine ecosystems: climate-mediated changes in herbivory and community phase shifts. Proc. R. Soc. B 281:20140846
- Viana IG, Siriwardane-de Zoysa R, Willette DA, Gillis LG. 2019. Exploring how non-native seagrass species could provide essential ecosystems services: a perspective on the highly invasive seagrass *Halophila stipulacea* in the Caribbean Sea. *Biol. Invasions* 21:1461–72
- Voolstra CR, Suggett DJ, Peixoto RS, Parkinson JE, Quigley KM, et al. 2021. Extending the natural adaptive capacity of coral holobionts. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2:747–62
- Walsh GE. 1974. Mangroves: a review. In *Ecology of Halophytes*, ed. RJ Reimold, WH Queen, pp. 51–174. London: Academic
- Walther G-R, Post E, Convey P, Menzel A, Parmesan C, et al. 2002. Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature* 416:389–95
- Ward RD, Friess DA, Day RH, Mackenzie RA. 2016. Impacts of climate change on mangrove ecosystems: a region by region overview. *Ecosyst. Health Sustain*. 2:e01211
- Watson JC, Hawkes MW, Lee LC, Lamb A. 2021. The dynamics and geographic disjunction of the kelp Eisenia arborea along the west coast of Canada. Bot. Mar. 64:395–406
- Waycott M, Duarte CM, Carruthers TJB, Orth RJ, Dennison WC, et al. 2009. Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. PNAS 106:12377–81
- Wernberg T, Bennett S, Babcock RC, de Bettignies T, Cure K, et al. 2016. Climate-driven regime shift of a temperate marine ecosystem. *Science* 353:169–72
- Wernberg T, Filbee-Dexter K. 2019. Missing the marine forest for the trees. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 612:209-15
- Wernberg T, Krumhansl K, Filbee-Dexter K, Pedersen M. 2019. Status and trends for the world's kelp forests. In World Seas: An Environmental Evaluation, ed. C Sheppard, pp. 57–78. London: Elsevier

- Wernberg T, Russell BD, Thomsen MS, Gurgel CFD, Bradshaw CJA, et al. 2011. Seaweed communities in retreat from ocean warming. *Curr. Biol.* 21:1828–32
- Wesselmann M, Chefaoui RM, Marbà N, Serrao EA, Duarte CM. 2021. Warming threatens to propel the expansion of the exotic seagrass Halophila stipulacea. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:759676
- Whalen MA, Starko S, Lindstrom SC, Martone PT. 2023. Heatwave restructures marine intertidal communities across a stress gradient. *Ecology* 104(5):e4027
- Williams-Jara GM, Espinoza-Tenorio A, Monzón-Alvarado C, Posada-Vanegas G, Infante-Mata D. 2022. Fires in coastal wetlands: a review of research trends and management opportunities. *Wetlands* 42:56
- Wilson KL, Lotze HK. 2019. Climate change projections reveal range shifts of eelgrass Zostera marina in the Northwest Atlantic. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 620:47–62
- Wismer S, Tebbett SB, Streit RP, Bellwood DR. 2019. Spatial mismatch in fish and coral loss following 2016 mass coral bleaching. Sci. Total Environ. 650:1487–98
- Woodroffe CD, Rogers K, McKee KL, Lovelock CE, Mendelssohn I, Saintilan N. 2016. Mangrove sedimentation and response to relative sea-level rise. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 8:243–66
- WoRMS Ed. Board. 2023. World Register of Marine Species. https://www.marinespecies.org
- Wright LS, Pessarrodona A, Foggo A. 2022. Climate-driven shifts in kelp forest composition reduce carbon sequestration potential. *Glob. Change Biol.* 28:5514–31
- Xiao X, de Bettignies T, Olsen YS, Agusti S, Duarte CM, Wernberg T. 2015. Sensitivity and acclimation of three canopy-forming seaweeds to UVB radiation and warming. PLOS ONE 10:e0143031
- Zarco-Perello S, Carroll G, Vanderklift M, Holmes T, Langlois TJ, Wernberg T. 2020. Range-extending tropical herbivores increase diversity, intensity and extent of herbivory functions in temperate marine ecosystems. *Funct. Ecol.* 34:2411–21
- Zardi GI, Nicastro KR, McQuaid CD, Ng TPT, Lathlean J, Seuront L. 2016. Enemies with benefits: parasitic endoliths protect mussels against heat stress. Sci. Rep. 6:31413
- Zhu C, Langley JA, Ziska LH, Cahoon DR, Megonigal JP. 2022. Accelerated sea-level rise is suppressing CO<sub>2</sub> stimulation of tidal marsh productivity: a 33-year study. Sci. Adv. 8:eabn0054
- Zippay ML, Helmuth B. 2012. Effects of temperature change on mussel, Mytilus. Integr. Zool. 7:312-27

## Contents

Annual Review of Marine Science

A Life Outside M.A.R. Koehl
The Physical Oceanography of Ice-Covered Moons Krista M. Soderlund, Marc Rovira-Navarro, Michael Le Bars, Britney E. Schmidt, and Theo Gerkema
Marine Transgression in Modern Times Christopher J. Hein and Matthew L. Kirwan55
Hidden Threat: The Influence of Sea-Level Rise on Coastal Groundwater and the Convergence of Impacts on Municipal Infrastructure <i>Shellie Habel, Charles H. Fletcher, Matthew M. Barbee, and Kyrstin L. Fornace</i> 81
The Global Turbidity Current Pump and Its Implications for Organic Carbon Cycling <i>Peter J. Talling, Sophie Hage, Megan L. Baker, Thomas S. Bianchi,</i> <i>Robert G. Hilton, and Katherine L. Maier</i>
Modeling the Vertical Flux of Organic Carbon in the Global Ocean         Adrian B. Burd       135
The Four-Dimensional Carbon Cycle of the Southern Ocean      Alison R. Gray      163
The Impact of Fine-Scale Currents on Biogeochemical Cycles in a Changing Ocean Marina Lévy, Damien Couespel, Clément Haëck, M.G. Keerthi, Inès Mangolte, and Channing J. Prend
Climate, Oxygen, and the Future of Marine Biodiversity Curtis Deutsch, Justin L. Penn, and Noelle Lucey
<ul> <li>Impacts of Climate Change on Marine Foundation Species</li> <li>Thomas Wernberg, Mads S. Thomsen, Julia K. Baum, Melanie J. Bishop,</li> <li>John F. Bruno, Melinda A. Coleman, Karen Filbee-Dexter, Karine Gagnon,</li> <li>Qiang He, Daniel Murdiyarso, Kerrylee Rogers, Brian R. Silliman,</li> <li>Dan A. Smale, Samuel Starko, and Mathew A. Vanderklift</li></ul>
Neutral Theory and Plankton Biodiversity Michael J. Behrenfeld and Kelsey M. Bisson

Using the Fossil Record to Understand Extinction Risk and Inform Marine Conservation in a Changing World Seth Finnegan, Paul G. Harnik, Rowan Lockwood, Heike K. Lotze, Loren McClenachan, and Sara S. Kahanamoku	307
The Microbial Ecology of Estuarine Ecosystems Byron C. Crump and Jennifer L. Bowen	335
Predation in a Microbial World: Mechanisms and Trade-Offs of Flagellate Foraging <i>Thomas Kiørboe</i>	361
Life in the Midwater: The Ecology of Deep Pelagic Animals Steven H.D. Haddock and C. Anela Choy	383
Phaeocystis: A Global Enigma Walker O. Smith Jr. and Scarlett Trimborn	417
The Evolution, Assembly, and Dynamics of Marine Holobionts Raúl A. González-Pech, Vivian Y. Li, Vanessa Garcia, Elizabeth Boville, Marta Mammone, Hiroaki Kitano, Kim B. Ritchie, and Mónica Medina	443
Viruses in Marine Invertebrate Holobionts: Complex Interactions Between Phages and Bacterial Symbionts <i>Kun Zhou, Ting Zhang, Xiao-Wei Chen, Ying Xu, Rui Zhang,</i> <i>and Pei-Yuan Qian</i>	467
Microbialite Accretion and Growth: Lessons from Shark Bay and the Bahamas R. Pamela Reid, Erica P. Suosaari, Amanda M. Oeblert, Clément G.L. Pollier, and Christophe Dupraz	487
Designing More Informative Multiple-Driver Experiments Mridul K. Thomas and Ravi Ranjan	513
Welcoming More Participation in Open Data Science for the Oceans Alexa L. Fredston and Julia S. Stewart Lowndes	537
Combined Use of Short-Lived Radionuclides ( <sup>234</sup> Th and <sup>210</sup> Po) as Tracers of Sinking Particles in the Ocean <i>Montserrat Roca-Martí and Viena Puigcorbé</i>	551
Metal Organic Complexation in Seawater: Historical Background and Future Directions <i>James W. Moffett and Rene M. Boiteau</i>	577

## Errata

An online log of corrections to *Annual Review of Marine Science* articles may be found at http://www.annualreviews.org/errata/marine