

PUBLICATION INFORMATION

This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in the Environmental Development journal. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2014.11.005>

Digital reproduction on this site is provided to CIFOR staff and other researchers who visit this site for research consultation and scholarly purposes. Further distribution and/or any further use of the works from this site is strictly forbidden without the permission of the Environmental Development journal.

You may download, copy and distribute this manuscript for non-commercial purposes. Your license is limited by the following restrictions:

1. The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner and publisher must be preserved in any copy.
2. You must attribute this manuscript in the following format:

This is an accepted version of an article by Milne, A., Banwart, S.A., Noellemeyer, E., Abson, D.J., Ballabio, C., Bampa, F., Bationo, A., Batjes, N.H., Bernoux, M., Bhattacharyya, T., Black, H., Buschiazzi, D.E., Cai, Z., Cerri, C.E., Cheng, K., Compagnone, C., Conant, R., Coutinho, H.L.C., de Brogniez, D., de Carvalho Balieiro, F., Duffy, C., Feller, C., Fidalgo, E.C.C., da Silva, C.F., Funk, R., Gaudig, G., Gicheru, P.T., Goldhaber, M., Gottschalk, P., Goulet, F., Goverse, T., Grathwohl, P., Joosten, H., Kamoni, P.T., Kihara, J., Krawczynski, R., La Scala Jr, N., Lemanceau, P., Li, L., Li, Z., Maron, P.-A., Martius, C., Melillo, J., Montanarella, L., Nikolaidis, N., Nziguheba, G., Pan, G., Pascual, U., Paustian, K., Piñeiro, G., Powlson, D., Quiroga, A., Richter, D., Sigwalt, A., Six, J., Smith, J., Smith, P., Stocking, M., Tanneberger, F., Termansen, M., van Noordwijk, M., van Wesemael, B., Vargas, R., Victoria, R.L., Waswa, B., Werner, D., Wichmann, S., Wichtmann, W., Zhang, X., Zhao, Y., Zheng, Jinwei, Zheng, Jufeng 2015. **Soil carbon, multiple benefits.** *Environmental Development*, 13: 33-38. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2014.11.005>



Soil Carbon, Multiple Benefits

Eleanor Milne^{1*}, Steven A. Banwart², Elke Noellemeyer³, David J. Abson⁴, Cristiano Ballabio⁵, Francesca Bampa⁵, Andre Bationo⁶, Niels H. Batjes⁷, Martial Bernoux⁸, Tapas Bhattacharyya⁹, Helaina Black¹⁰, Daniel E. Buschiazzo¹¹, Zucong Cai¹², Carlos Eduardo Cerni¹³, Kun Cheng¹⁴, Claude Compagnone¹⁵, Rich Conant¹⁶, Heitor L.C. Coutinho¹⁷, Delphine de Brogniez⁵, Fabiano de Carvalho Balieiro¹⁷, Christopher Duffy¹⁸, Christian Feller¹⁹, Elaine C.C. Fidalgo¹⁷, Cristiane Figueira da Silva²⁰, Roger Funk²¹, Greta Gaudig²², Patrick T. Gicheru²³, Marty Goldhaber²⁴, Pia Gottschalk²⁵, Frederic Goulet²⁶, Tessa Goverse²⁷, Peter Grathwohl²⁸, Hans Joosten²², Peter T. Kamoni²³, Job Kihara²⁹, Rene Krawczynski³⁰, Newton La Scala Jr³¹, Philippe Lemanceau³², Lianqing Li¹⁴, Zichuan Li¹⁴, Pierre-Alain Maron³², Christopher Martius³³, Jerry Melillo³⁴, Luca Montanarella⁵, Nikolaos Nikolaidis³⁵, Genesee Nziguheba³⁶, Genxing Pan¹⁴, Unai Pascual³⁷, Keith Paustian³⁸, Gervasio Piñeiro³⁹, David Powlson⁴⁰, Alberto Quiroga³, Dan Richter⁴¹, Annie Sigwalt⁴², Johan Six⁴³, Jo Smith⁴⁴, Pete Smith⁴⁴, Michael Stocking⁴⁵, Franziska Tanneberger²², Mette Termansen⁴⁶, Meine van Noordwijk⁴⁷, Bas van Wesemael⁴⁸, Rodrigo Vargas⁴⁹, Reynaldo Luiz Victoria⁵⁰, Boaz Waswa²⁹, David Werner⁵¹, Sabine Wichmann²², Wendelin Wichtmann⁵², Xuhui Zhang⁵³, Yongcun Zhao⁵⁴, Jinwei Zheng¹⁴, Jufeng Zheng¹⁴.

1. Colorado State University, USA/University of Leicester, UK. E-mail: eleanor.milne@colostate.edu
2. Krotto Research Institute, The University of Sheffield, UK. E-mail: s.a.banwart@sheffield.ac.uk
3. Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad Nacional de La Pampa, Argentina. E-mail: noellemeyer@agro.unlpam.edu.ar, enoellemeyer@gmail.com, aquiroga@anguil.inta.gov.ar
4. FuturES Research Center, Leuphana Universität, Germany. E-mail: abson@leuphana.de
5. European Commission Directorate General Joint Research Centre, Italy. E-mail: cristiano.ballabio@jrc.ec.europa.eu, francesca.bampa@gmail.com, delphine.debrogniez@gmail.com, luca.montanarella@jrc.ec.europa.eu
6. Alliance for Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA), Kenya. Present address: Action for Integrated Rural Development, Accra, Ghana. E-mail: abationo@outlook.com
7. ISRIC – World Soil Information, the Netherlands. E-mail: niels.batjes@wur.nl
8. French Research Institute for Development (IRD), France. E-mail: martial.bernoux@ird.fr
9. National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning (Indian Council of Agricultural Research), India. E-mail: tapas11156@yahoo.com
10. The James Hutton Institute, UK. E-mail: helaina.black@hutton.ac.uk
11. National Institute for Agronomic Research of Argentina (INTA), National University of La Pampa, Argentina. E-mail: buschiazzo@agro.unlpam.edu.ar/ debuschiazzo@yahoo.com
12. School of Geography Science, Nanjing Normal University, China. E-mail: zccai@njnu.edu.cn
13. Department of Soil Science, University of São Paulo (USP), Brazil. E-mail: cepcerri@usp.br
14. Institute of Resource, Ecosystem and Environment of Agriculture, Nanjing Agricultural University, China. E-mail: kuncheng@aliyun.com, lqli@njau.edu.cn, lizichuan2004@163.com, panggenxing@aliyun.com, zhengjw@njau.edu.cn, zhengjufeng@njau.edu.cn
15. AgroSup, Dijon – INRA, France. E-mail: c.compagnone@agrosupdijon.fr
16. Natural Resource Ecology Laboratory and Department of Ecosystem Science and Sustainability, Colorado State University, USA. E-mail: conant@nrel.colostate.edu
17. National Centre for Soil Research (Embrapa Soils), Brazil. E-mail: heitor.coutinho@embrapa.br, fabiano.balieiro@embrapa.br, elaine.fidalgo@embrapa.br
18. National Science Foundation (NSF) Critical Zone Observatory, Pennsylvania State University, USA. E-mail: cxd11@psu.edu
19. French Research Institute for Development (IRD), France. E-mail: christian.feller@ird.fr
20. Rural Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRRJ), Brazil. E-mail: cfigueirasilva@yahoo.com.br
21. Institute for Soil Landscape Research, Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), Germany. E-mail: rfunk@zalf.de
22. Institute of Botany and Landscape Ecology, Ernst Moritz Arndt University of Greifswald, Germany. E-mail: gaudig@uni-greifswald.de, joosten@uni-greifswald.de, tanne@uni-greifswald.de, wichmann@uni-greifswald.de
23. Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization (KALRO), Kenya. E-mail: patrick.gicheru@kalro.org, pkamoni@gmail.com
24. US Geological Survey, USA. E-mail: mgold@usgs.gov
25. Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Germany. E-mail: pia.gottschalk@pik-potsdam.de
26. CIRAD Agricultural Research for Development, France. E-mail: frederic.goulet@cirad.fr
27. Division of Early Warning and Assessment, United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Kenya. E-mail: tessa.goverse@unep.org
28. Center for Applied Geosciences, Tuebingen University, Germany. E-mail: grathwohl@uni-tuebingen.de

29. International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), Kenya. E-mail: j.kihara@cgiar.org, b.waswa@cgiar.com
30. Chair of General Ecology, Brandenburg University of Technology, Germany. E-mail: rene.krawczynski@tu-cottbus.de
31. Universidade Estadual Paulista (Unesp), Brazil. E-mail: lascala@fcav.unesp.br
32. INRA-University of Burgundy Joint Research Unit for Soil Microbiology and the Environment, France. E-mail: philippe.lemanceau@dijon.inra.fr, pierre-alain.maron@dijon.inra.fr
33. Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), Indonesia. E-mail: c.martius@cgiar.org
34. The Ecosystems Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, USA. E-mail: jmelillo@mbi.edu
35. Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Crete, Greece. E-mail: nikolaos.nikolaidis@enveng.tuc.gr
36. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Nairobi, Kenya. E-mail: g.nziguheba@cgiar.org
37. Basque Centre for Climate Change, Spain. E-mail: unai.pascual@bc3research.org
38. Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Natural Resource Ecology Laboratory, Colorado State University, USA. E-mail: keith.paustian@colostate.edu
39. Department of Natural Resources, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina. E-mail: pineiro@ifeva.edu.ar
40. Department of Sustainable Soils and Grassland Systems, Rothamsted Research, UK. E-mail: david.powelson@rothamsted.ac.uk
41. Division of Environmental Sciences and Policy, Duke University, USA. E-mail: drichter@duke.edu
42. Département Economie et Sciences Sociales, Groupe ESA, France. E-mail: a.sigwalt@groupe-esa.com
43. Sustainable Agroecosystem Group, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich (ETH), Switzerland. E-mail: jsix@ethz.ch
44. Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, UK. E-mail: jo.smith@abdn.ac.uk, pete.smith@abdn.ac.uk
45. School of International Development, University of East Anglia, UK. E-mail: m.stocking@uea.ac.uk
46. Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus University, Denmark. E-mail: mter@dmu.dk
47. ICRAF (World Agroforestry Centre), Indonesia. E-mail: m.vannoordwijk@cgiar.org
48. Georges Lemaître Centre for Earth and Climate Research, Earth and Life Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium. E-mail: bas.vanwesemael@uclouvain.be
49. Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Delaware, USA. E-mail: rvargas@udel.edu
50. Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil. E-mail: reynaldo.victoria@gmail.com
51. School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Newcastle University, UK. E-mail: david.werner@ncl.ac.uk
52. Michael Succow Foundation for the Protection of Nature, Ernst Moritz Arndt University of Greifswald, Germany. E-mail: wendelin.wichtmann@succow-stiftung.de
53. College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, China. E-mail: xuhuizhang@njau.edu.cn
54. Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China. E-mail: yczhao@issas.ac.cn

*Corresponding author: Dr. Eleanor Milne, email: eleanor.milne@colostate.edu

Introduction

The health of the Earth's soils is fundamental to the survival of humanity, yet soils are under pressure from the increasing demands of a growing population. By the year 2050 the Earth's soils will be required to provide the following services for an estimated 9.6 billion people:

- **Supporting services:** The cycling of nutrients, the retention and release of water, the formation of soil, provision of habitat for biodiversity, the exchange of gases with the atmosphere and the degradation of plant and other complex materials.
- **Regulating services:** Regulate climate through the sequestration of carbon from the atmosphere and emission of greenhouse gasses, regulate water flow and the filtration and purification of water, regulate air quality by attenuation of pollutants from wind erosion, atmospheric deposition and land contamination.
- **Provisioning services:** Sustain food, fuel and fibre production, water availability, non-renewable mineral resources and a platform for construction.
- **Cultural services:** The preservation of archaeological remains; outdoor recreational pursuits; ethical, spiritual and religious interests; the identity of landscapes and supporting habitat.

The organic matter content of soils and more specifically soil organic carbon (SOC) is critical to the majority of these services. However, in the past 25 years an estimated quarter of the Earth's land has suffered degradation as a result of SOC loss. Therefore, we are currently operating at suboptimal SOC levels far below those required for a 'sustainable livelihood' (Powlson et al., 2011). Restoring, increasing and protecting soil organic carbon (SOC) should therefore be a global priority.

In March 2013, 40 leading experts from across the world gathered at a workshop, hosted by the European Commission, Directorate General Joint Research Centre, Italy, to discuss these issues as part of a Rapid Assessment Process (RAP) project commissioned by SCOPE (Scientific Committee on Problems of the Environment). This collaboration led to the publication of the SCOPE Series Volume 71 '**Soil Carbon: Science, Management and Policy for Multiple Benefits**'; which brings together the essential scientific evidence and policy opportunities regarding the global importance of soil carbon. The book is a timely contribution that supports the 5 pillars of the Global Soil Partnership (www.fao.org/globalsoilpartnership/en/) and the related activities of the 2015 International Year of Soils (www.fao.org/soils-2015/en/). Here we present some of the key messages to come out of the project.

Soil carbon, multiple benefits

Maintaining and increasing soil carbon content yields substantial, multiple benefits. Greater soil carbon helps to maintain soil structure by forming stable, larger aggregates that hold plant-available water in intra-aggregate pores and larger inter-aggregate pores that create greater soil permeability, aeration and drainage. Increasing soil carbon provides substrate and energy to support microbial activity, provides a reservoir of organic N, P and other nutrients for plant productivity, and creates more physically cohesive soil to resist soil losses by wind or water erosion and by protecting occluded organic matter within the larger aggregates. Carbon that enters soil is removed from the atmosphere; any gains in soil carbon mitigate greenhouse gas emissions, with caveats about impacts on the N cycle and N₂O production, and production of CH₄ from an anaerobic decomposition of organic matter in waterlogged soils.

A global research program

Under given climatic, substrate, relief and hydrological conditions there are biophysical limits to how much carbon a soil can store. However, for many soils there is little information on their inherent capacity to sequester carbon as native reference soils no longer exist. In contrast, economic, social and other drivers can rapidly change the land use (e.g., forest to grassland) and management (low input to high input agriculture) with potentially grave consequences for the soil carbon balance. A targeted applied research plan, accompanied by management actions is needed to determine the impacts of different land use and management practices on SOC in site-specific conditions at different spatial and temporal scales. Therefore, there is a need to create a global research program to reduce the uncertainty associated with SOC management across terrestrial ecosystems.

Preventing SOC loss

An urgent priority is to stop losses of SOC in terrestrial ecosystems, especially in ecological hotspots and carbon-rich soils. Priority areas include the world's peatlands which cover less than 0.3% of the global land surface but store an estimated 500 Gt of carbon (Joosten, 2008), and the world's drylands which have a low SOC stock per unit area but cover an estimated 41% of the Earth's land surface and are subject to the highest levels of degradation. Loss of SOC in these areas results from land use change (grassland conversion to annual or perennial cropland) and intensification of land use in areas where conversion has already taken place. Intensification raises the prospect of greater physical disturbance of soils, e.g. tilling of grasslands. More intense tillage and greater areas of mechanical tillage are expected to coincide with greater loss of soil carbon due to greater exposure of soil carbon to O₂ (Powlson et al., 2011).

In addition, predicted changes to regional as well as global climate in the coming decades will impact soil carbon (Schils et al., 2008, Conant et al., 2011). Drier, warmer conditions are expected to coincide with higher rates of soil carbon mineralization and the associated loss of soil functions. Warming of permafrost soils will expose accumulated carbon in cold regions to much greater rates of microbial decomposition (Schuur and Abbott, 2011).

Promoting SOC enhancement

Agricultural lands created from drained peatlands have the potential for carbon sequestration under the appropriate management, for example use for flooded crops or return to native vegetation. Degraded agricultural lands in semi-arid climates that originally were grasslands, savannahs or tropical dry forests also have large global potential for enhancement of SOC, due to the extended area they cover. Taking as an example the semi-arid agricultural lands of North America, the soil organic carbon content diminished by on average 50% during the arable agriculture period. Similar consideration is valid for arid land in China, Mongolia, Russia, Africa and South America

Organic matter plays a catalysing role for the maintenance of soil structure, nutrient turnover and other soil functions. The fundamental strategy of restoring soil functions is based on agro-ecological land care practices that entail carbon additions. These additions can be either through aboveground vegetation or through inputs from agriculturally urban and industrial organic waste. Typically, soil ecosystems are restored naturally unless they have passed a tipping point. However, this process can take decades (Zach et al., 2006) or centuries (Nikolaidis, 2011). Reversing the degradation trend and enhancing soil ecosystem services requires significantly higher additions of organic matter and in most cases the process of restoration will not achieve the original level of carbon stocks (Zach et al., 2006).

From potential to implementation

We have substantial knowledge of the technical measures needed to increase SOC in most land use systems. However, in many cases this knowledge is not being put into practice. A key reason could be the mismatch between private and social benefits and the costs of SOC management across temporal and spatial scales. Most actions needed at the local scale are complementary at the national and global scales and can be aggregated. If all single farms are prosperous, the catchment and the nation are also prosperous and vice versa. However, some soil ecosystem functions only become meaningful at a larger scale such as climate change mitigation by avoiding SOC losses, reducing GHG emissions and sequestering SOC. Such goals can only be achieved when implemented at many farms simultaneously as a single farm has a negligible effect on the global level, where 'climate' operates. In addition, incentives to change action at the local level need to be provided for benefits which may be more apparent at the global level.

Interestingly, the current best practices (both biophysical and socioeconomic) that are applied by the different actors occur at the lower scales and these are mostly related to biophysical/technological innovations. Fewer best practices are found as we move upwards in the spatial scale. However, innovations are particularly needed to bridge the current incompatibilities between short- and long-term objectives. Apart from technological innovations there is a strong need for social and political advances.. The latter mainly being related to new types of governance structures in the public and private sectors so that policies at higher spatial levels, in governments or companies, filter down effectively towards the lower scales and ultimately reach the consumers and the farmers who can effectively bring about SOC sequestration.

A strategy for taking soil carbon into the policy arena

It is imperative that issues involving SOC must achieve a higher policy profile. The multiple benefits derived from SOC interact at scales beyond the individual farm, and therefore should be addressed and remunerated through public incentives at scales ranging from the catchment to the nation. SOC is often privately managed but has impacts on atmospheric C that are unambiguously global. This planetary dimension requires a collective management approach with governance arrangements that are targeted at different stakeholders at different levels. Governance structures must embed SOC in all levels of decision-making and action. The principal actors involved are land users as the immediate guardians of SOC, local professionals, local government and NGOs. Good governance by nation states has a pivotal role both in filtering down to the local level, but also aggregating up to the global and international levels.

SOC is now recognized as a global environmental issue and policies should capitalize on UN institutions that promote SOC sequestration. At present, most UN agencies are promoting convergent strategies, e.g. the climate-smart agriculture initiative, and the Global Donors Platforms for Rural Development (GDPDR, 2014).

Conclusion

A new focus on soil carbon at all levels of governance for soil management would better enable the full potential of soil ecosystem services to be realised. This advance is urgent and essential. There is significant opportunity through soil carbon management to help meet the demand for food, fuel, and clean water worldwide. It is also an essential step towards soil management that establishes enhanced soil functions that last – in order to meet the needs of future generations.

References

Conant, R.T., Ryan, M.G., Agren, G.I., Birge, H.E., Davidson, E.A., Eliasson, P.E., Evans, S.E., Frey, S.D., Giardina, C.P., Hopkins, F.M., Hyvonen, R., Kirschbaum, M.U.F., Lavelle, J.M., Leifeld, J., Parton, W.J., Megan Steinweg, J., Wallenstein, M.D., Wetterstedt, J.A.M. and Bradford, M.A. (2011). Temperature and soil organic matter decomposition rates synthesis of current knowledge and a way forward. *Global Change Biology*, 17, 3392-3404

GPDRP, 2014. Global Donors Platforms for Rural Development. www.donorplatform.org

Joosten, H, Couwenberg, J. (2008). Assessment on peatlands, biodiversity and climate change. In Peatlands and carbon. Parish, F, Sirin, A, Charman, D, Joosten, H, Minaeva, T, Silviu, M. (Eds.). Kuala Lumpur: Global Environment Centre and Wageningen: Wetlands International, 99-117.

Nikolaidis, N.P. (2011). Human impacts on soil: tipping points and knowledge gaps. *Applied Geochemistry* 26, 230-233.

Powlson, D.S., Whitmore, A.P. and Goulding, K.W.T. (2011). Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change: A critical re-examination to identify the true and the false. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 62, 42-55

Schils, R., Kuikman, P., Liski, J., Van Oijen, M., Smith, P., Webb, J., Alm, J., Somogyi, Z., Van den Akker, J., Billett, M., Emmett, B., Evans, C., Lindner, M., Palosuo, T., Bellamy, P., Jandl, R. and Hiederer, R. (2008). Review of existing information on the interrelations between soil and climate change (ClimSoil). Final report. Brussels, European Commission.

Schuur, E.A.G. and Abbot, B. (2011). High risk of permafrost thaw. *Nature*, 480, 32-33.

Zach A, Tiessen H, Noellemeier E. 2006. Carbon turnover and carbon-13 natural abundance under land use change in semiarid savanna soils of La Pampa, Argentina. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 70, 1541.

Highlights

- By the year 2050 the Earth's soils will be required to provide multiple ecosystem services for an estimated 9.6 billion people
- There is a need to create a global research program to reduce the uncertainty associated with SOC management across terrestrial ecosystems.
- A new focus on soil carbon at all levels of governance for soil management would better enable the full potential of soil ecosystem services to be realised.